28th March 2014

Re: CP76

Dear Sir,

I wish to put on record our objection to the proposed implementation of the tiered approach to credit union regulation. Firstly it is our opinion that the timing of this implementation is not opportune given the large work schedule already on credit unions through the implementation of the Fitness & Probity Programme, new Risk Management Systems and the Internal Audit Function. Notwithstanding these matters CP76 will have a profound effect on our Investment Portfolio. The new requirements in terms of liquidity coupled with the proposed alternative counterparty designations will seriously impede our ability to generate income.

We have had consultations with our Investment Advisors, Davy Stockbrokers, who have formulated the specific impact in terms of (1) Investments and (2) Lending limits which I attach in Appendix (1) and Appendix (2) for your attention.

In summary we would contend that the tiered regulatory approach should not be considered at this time and should be deferred pending a detailed impact analysis for credit unions as a whole.

Yours sincerely,

Richard Craven Secretary – Midleton Credit Union

Appendix 1: Impact of CP76 on the Investment Portfolio

	Description	Current Allocation €	% Portfolio				
	Bank Bonds	3,036,038	6.34%				
Investments which are not authorised under proposals	Collective Investment Schemes (not authorised)	5,722,592	11.95%				
	Structured Investments (bank bonds)	3,763,080	7.28%				
	Total to be allocated to alternative investments/classes	12,247,179	25.6%				
	Counterparty	€ Exposure >100% RR	Exposure % RR				
Breaches of proposed counterparty limit of 100% regulatory reserves (€7.2m which is c.14.9% of the investment portfolio)	AIB	3,837,405	154%				
	BOI	3,664,612	151%				
	PTSB	4,972,156	170%				
	Total to be allocated to	€12,474,173	26% investment				
portiono	alternative counterparties		portfolio				
The above figure of $m{\epsilon}$ 12.4m is likely to be higher due to the requirement to invest funds from the CTT with direct counterparties							

Source: Davy with reference to the Central Bank consultation paper

Appendix 2: Impact of CP76 on the Lending Limits

Total Assets		68,540,992							
egulatory Re	eserves	7,152,939	10.44%						
oans to Mer	mbers	21,411,610							
			Categor	v 1	Cate	gory 2			
	Existing limits under	Term	% Loan Book	1 € limit	% Loan Book	€ limit			
	Section 35 (2) of the 1997		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,						
Maturity	continue to apply. Longer term limits will apply to	More than 5 years	30%	€6,423,483	40%	€8,564,644			
Limits	Category 2.	More than 10 years	10%	€2,141,161	15%	€3,211,742			
		Note the definition used for personal loans, a significar	t						
		proportion of bans may now fall under commercial			Category 1		Category 2		
		rather than personal (e.g. sole traders)	Maximum Loan		Proposed	€ limit of		Proposed	€ limit of
	Classes of Lending	Definition	Term	Permitted?	Limit	total loans	Permitted?	Limit	total loans
		A loan provided to an individual for personal, family or household use, once that use is for purposes unrelated to the							
	Personal Loan	person's trade, business or profession	15 years	Yes			Yes		
Classes of			. ,						
Classes of ending and	Commercial Loan	A loan, the primary objective of which is to fund an activity whose purpose to make a profit	15 years	Yes	25%	€1,788,235	Yes	100%	€7,152,939
Concentrati	Commercial Loan	whose purpose to make a profit	13 years	163	23/0	€1,700,233	162	100/0	€1,132,333
on Limits		A loan provided to a community or voluntary organisation							
		which is established for the express purpose of further the							
	Community Loan	social, economic or environmental well-being of individuals.	15 years	Yes	25%	€1,788,235	Yes	25%	€1,788,235
	Lending to other credit			.,	10.500/		.,	40.500/	200444
	unions		15 years	Yes	12.50%	€894,117	Yes	12.50%	€894,117
		A home loan made to a member to purchase their principle private residence where the credit unions holds the first legal							
		charge on the property & the amt of the loan does not exceed							
	Home Loans	80% of the value of the property when the loan is made.	25 years	No	n/a	n/a	Yes	15.00%	€3,211,742
						Note that thi	ic proposal		
		Definition	Category 1 and 2		limits total ag				
					Limit of lending to res				
Restricted					Aggregate	persons, it is per loan	not a limit		
Person's	A restricted pers	on is i) a member of the board of directors or the	Limit is the gre		lending to RP's	per barr			
Limits	management team of a	credit union ii) a member of the family of a member of $% \left\{ \left(1\right) \right\} =\left\{ \left(1\right) \right\} $		5%					
		rs or the management team of a credit union or iii) a		Regulatory Reserves					
		mber of the board of directors or the mangement team							
	of a cre	dit union has a significant shareholding	€200,000	€357,647	€357,647				
	Definition		Category 1 and 2						
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	veinition							
			Large exposure is	Total large ex	xposures can				
	one which		0% Regulatory Limit of exposure to a borrow			r or a group of			
Large			exceeds:			nected borrowers			
Exposure		5% Regulatory							
Limits			Reserves Limit		nit	Limit is the greater of Lir		Limit	
							10% Regulatory		
	A large exposure is an exposure (including contingent liabilities) that exceeds						Reserves		
		5% of a credit union's Regulatory Reserves		€35,76	64,695	€39,000	€715,294	€715,294	
		,				Currently, limit	t is the greater of		
							1.5% total assets		
						€39,000	€1,028,115	€1,028,115	