

Slane Credit Union Itd.

Main Street, Slane, Co. Meath. Telephone: (041) 982 4812 Fax: (041) 982 4087

E-mail: info@slanecreditunion.ie



CREDIT UNION REGULATION

3 1 MAR 2014

RECEIVED

Registry of Credit Unions,

Central Bank of Ireland,

P.O. Box 559,

Dame Street,

DUBLIN, 2

28th March, 2014

Dear Sir,

Please find enclosed submission from Slane Credit Union on the "Introduction of a Tiered Regulatory Approach for Credit Unions".

Yours Sincerely,

Barbara Markey

Baebar Mackey

Manager

Consultation on the Introduction of a Tiered Regulatory Approach for Credit Unions

Submission from Slane Credit Union Ltd.

- 4.8 The Central Bank is seeking views on the following:
- (i) Do you agree with the proposed tiered regulatory approach for credit unions? If you have other suggestions please provide them along with the supporting rationale.

Submission

The 2 category system for C.U.s is acceptable however the ceiling applicable for movement from category 1 to category 2 should be based on the Unions ability to provide the services and its willingness to adhere to extra regulatory oversight rather than a fiscal ceiling. However the proposed two tier system as envisaged in this document shows that category 1 regulation will not adhere to the simple model as suggested by the Commission.

- 5.12 The Central Bank is seeking views on the following:
- (i) Do you agree with the proposals for the operation of the two category approach for credit unions set out in sections 5.1-5.11? If you have other suggestions, please provide them along with the supporting rationale. It should be noted that tiering is possible where regulation making powers are available to the Central Bank. Where requirements are set out in the 1997 Act they apply to all credit unions and cannot be tiered.

Submission:

In an overview sense certain elements of section 5 are obnoxious and an exercise in micromanagement they fly in the face of common sense and decency. We believe they are an effort to minimise the investment returns of Credit Unions and thereby bring about the demise of the movement. The section dealing with restricted persons is in the main an insult to volunteers and staff for it creates an apartheid system making second class members of a large cohort of our membership. We view the introduction of a tiered regulatory approach, in the presented proposed format, as a method of implementing further restrictions on the credit union movement.

- a) Investment opportunities; It is extremely difficult for credit unions to generate investment income in the current low interest rate environment so to limit investment spans and increase reserves and liquidity will so limit the earning capacity of surplus funds to make the effort to prudently invest a joke. Credit unions ability to invest in collective investment schemes seems to have been removed thus restricting the ability to obtain a higher return by block investment. Counterparty limits are likely to reduce counterparty exposure to well below the current limit of 25% of the investment portfolio. C.Us would have to reallocate funds to other counterparties in order to meet the proposed counterparty limit of 100% regulatory reserves. This is likely to include counterparties who are offering much lower cash deposit rates (e.g. Danske Bank, BNP) and who may be outside the jurisdiction of the State. Such a flight of capital would be detrimental to the Banks and State alike. At worse the status quo under the Trustee (Authorised Investments) Order 1998, and the C.B. guidelines of 2006 should be maintained. Investment limits are also contained Section 35(2) of the Credit Union Act 1997 so are the proposals in this area necessary? See Appendix 1.
- b) External review of Boards of Category 2 Unions will be detrimental for it will make the recruitment of Directors harder who hires and conducts the reviews? Only institutions of very large bodies are obliged to adhere to his policy so why is the threshold lowered for C.U.s we believe this should not be introduced.
- c) Borrowing; this element plays little or no part in C.U. activities.
- d) We believe that lending to all categories of borrower be restricted only by due diligence, provision of security (if deemed necessary) and the ability to repay. See Appendix 2.
- e) Reserves be put on par with the banks requirements. Smaller Credit Union with lower than average reserves will have a significantly lower limit on loans which will hasten their demise. In

rural C.U.s the issue of loans to farmers and self-employed, classified as commercial lending, is the life blood of the community and restrictions based on regulatory reserves will restrict community development.

- f) Savings- A saver can maintain an account with any bank in excess of the threshold indicated in this document the amount saved should be at the disgression of the saver and therefore not restricted We ask why a similar restriction is not imposed on the banks and if it is not it must be seen as anticompetitive
- g) Liquidity: Credit unions will need to divert funds from collective investment schemes call accounts or alternative liquid investments- Liquidity should be positively stated allowing transparency.

5.2.3

(ii) Are there any areas where credit unions could provide new additional services to their members? Should these be available to category 1 and category 2 credit unions or only category 2 credit unions? If you have suggestions please provide them along with the supporting rationale and the associated additional requirements.

Submission:

- Allow all C.U.s to supply credit and debit card services and mobile banking. CUSOP will allow continuing control of this function.
- 6.3 The Central Bank is seeking views on the following:
- (i) Do you agree that a provisioning framework should be developed for credit unions as proposed in section 6.2? If you have additional proposals please provide them along with the supporting rationale.

Submission:

It appears that the Central bank is intent on imposing the roll rate mechanism on Credit Unions whereby any loan 25 weeks + weeks in arrears would be 100% provided. The movement is well provided for at the moment and has an appropriate system, approved by the Central bank, in place – we propose that the current situation be retained.

- 7.2 The Central Bank is seeking views on the following:
- (i) Do you agree that the tiered regulatory approach should be introduced at this time? If you consider that alternative timing is more appropriate, please provide suggestions, along with the supporting rationale.

Submission:

The movement has moved rapidly to adhere to new legislative and governmental regulations and now needs time to bed-down these changes. The time frame should start in 2017 and thereafter be completed by Q3 2018.

(ii) If it is considered that the tiered regulatory approach should be introduced at this time, do you agree with the proposed timelines for the introduction of the tiered regulatory approach set out in section 7.1, in particular the transitional period proposed between the publication and commencement of the regulations? If you have other suggestions please provide them, along with the supporting rationale.

See above.

Appendix 1

Slane Credit Union Ltd.

The Impact on Slane Credit Union's Investment Portfolio:

Description

The tables below are brief snapshots of the main implications for Slane Credit Union's investment portfolio if the proposals on investments are implemented. This analysis is based on the regulatory reserves figure at 30th September 2013 (€1.8m) and the current investment portfolio (€10.2m).

Current Allocation

% Portfolio

Table 1: Analysis of Slane Credit Union's Investment Portfolio with Proposed Limits

Investments whic	h					70 1 01 0	10110
are not authorise	D n	Bank Bonds Collective Investment Schemes		506 1,114,729		0%	
	Co						
		uctured Investmen nds)	ts (Bank	200,0	000	2%	
	Tot	tal		€1.3m would need to be allocated to alternative investments/classes		13%	
			ct Exposur through or	e	The second secon	Exposui	
		€ exposure < 100%RR		% RR	€ exposure 100%RR		% RR
Breaches of	AIB	415,538		122%	666,017		135%
proposed counterparty limit	ВОІ	419,640		122%	697,653		137%
of 100% regulatory	PTSB	488,538		126%	761,646		140%
reserves (€1.8m which is c. 18.4% of the investment portfolio)							
Total to be allocat alternative counter		€1.3m	13% of	the portfolio	€2.1m		L% of the portfolio
		% Portfolio	Pro	posed Limit	OK/Bre	ach?	
Maturity Limit: no more than 50% of the portfolio may mature after 3 year		0%		50%		OK	
		% Unattached Shares	Propo	sed Minimum	OK/Bre	ach?	
Proposed short terr	1000	32%		10%		OK	
liquidity constraint	S	32%		15%		OK	

We anticipate that the proposed limits are very likely to translate into lower investment income.

Slane Credit Union Ltd Appendix 2

The Impact on Slane Credit Union's Loan Portfolio

Loans to Members Regulatory Reserves Total Assets

3,317,352	1,882,462	
	13.	

		Category	1	Category	vy 2
Existing limits under Section	Term	% Loan Book	€ limit	% Loan Book	€limit
35 (2) of the 1997 continue to apply, Longer term limits	More than 5 years	30%	€995,206		€1,326,941
will apply to Category 2.	More than 10 years	10%	€331,735	15%	€497,603

Maturity Limits

	n Limits	Classes of Lending and Concentratio			
Home Loans	Community Loan Lending to other credit	Classes of Lending and Commercial Loan Concentratio	Personal Loan	Classes of Lending	
A home loan made to a member to purchase their principle private residence where the credit unions holds the first legal charge on the property & the amt of the loan does not exceed 80% of the value of the property when the loan is made.	A loan provided to a community or voluntary organisation which is established for the express purpose of further the social, economic or environmental well-being of individuals.	A loan, the primary objective of which is to fund an activity whose purpose to make a profit	A loan provided to an individual for personal, family or household use, once that use is for purposes unrelated to the person's trade, business or profession	Definition	
25 years	15 years	15 years	15 years	Maximum Loan Term	
No g	Ves Yes	Yes	Yes	Permitted?	
n/a	25%	25%		1 1	Category 1
n/a £233,300	€470,616	€470,616		€ limit of total loans	
¥es is	Yes	Yes	Yes	Permitted?	
15,00%	25%	100%		Proposed	Category 2
£235,308 £497,603		€1,882,462		Proposed € limit of total Limit loans	

the management team of a credit union or ii) a business in which a member of the board of directors or the mangement team of a credit union has a significant shareholding	A restricted person is i) a member of the board of directors or the management team of a readit union ii) a member of the familia of a member of the board of directors or	Definition
5% Regulatory Reserves €200,000 €94,123	Limit is the greater of	Category 1 and 2
₹200,000	Limit of Aggregate lending to RP	

		Limits	Large	
	A large exposure is an exposure (including contingent liabilities) that exceeds 5% of a credit union's Regulatory Reserves			Definition
	694,123	5% Regulatory Reserves	Large exposure is one which exceeds:	
	€9,412,310	Limit	-	Category 1 and 2
Currently, limit is the greater of Current Limit 1.5% total assets £30,000 £205,177 £205,17	10% Regulatory Reserves €39,000 €188,246	Limit is the greater of	otal large exposures can be up to 500% Regulatory Limit of exposure to a borrower or a group of connected borrowers	/ 1 and 2
eater of Current Limit all assets £205,177 £205,177	gulatory erves €188,246 €188,246	Limit	or a group of	

We anticipate that the proposed limits will resrict our growth and and subsequently have an adverse effect on projected income