Introduction of a Tiered Regulatory Approach for Credit Unions: Consultation Paper CP 76

Trim Credit Union Ltd – Submission March 2014

Submissions should be made in writing, preferably electronically as a word document or a pdf document on email by 31st March 2014. The Central Bank intends to make all submissions available on the Central Bank website. Submissions should be marked "Introduction of a Tiered Regulatory Approach for Credit Unions" and emailed to rcuconsultation@centralbank.ie or Registry of Credit Unions, Central Bank of Ireland, PO Box 559, Dame Street, Dublin 2.

Q1. Do you agree with the proposed tiered regulatory approach for credit unions? If you have other suggestions please provide them along with the supporting rationale.

The consultation paper puts forward a change to the tiered regulatory approach suggested by the Commission on Credit Unions. A two category approach will allow smaller credit unions to operate a more limited model and permit others to make a decision as to where they wish to be placed. This in effect will force Credit Unions to consider amalgamations to acquire an asset base of €100 million euro and hasten the progress of the movement from a transition to maturity stage. We agree in principle with a tiered regulatory approach but take issue with the proposals for the operation of same.

Q2. Do you agree with the proposals for the operation of the two category approach for credit unions set out in sections 5.1-5.11? If you have other suggestions, please provide them along with the supporting rationale. It should be noted that tiering is possible where regulation powers are available to the Central Bank. Where requirements are set out in the 1997 Act they apply to all credit unions and cannot be tiered.

Note: 5.11-5.11 essentially documents the proposals for each Category – please refer to Table 1 and 2 in the Appendices.

The operation of the two category approach causes our Credit Union most concern as it will impact on our ability to generate income and could prove detrimental to our Credit Union. This is evidenced in the attached analysis Appendix 1 - conducted on our behalf on the impact of CP76 on the Investment Portfolio. In addition we take umbrage with the proposals on classes of lending and concentration of limits together with the restricted person limit as demonstrated in Appendix 2 – Impact of CP76 on the Lending Limits and urge you to review or remove these restrictions. With regard to Liquidity the proposal that a credit union holds at least 10% of unattached saving available up to seven days and up to 15% of unattached savings available up to one month will also prove expensive in lost income to the Credit Union. It would be our view that the proposed two category approach is too broad and restrictive, i.e. Credit Unions at the top of each band would be disproportionally affected by the new framework. The Credit Union proposes a narrowing of the limits used to reduce the negative impact of any loss in income.

Q3. Are there any areas where credit unions could provide new additional services to their members? Should these be available to Category 1 and Category 2 credit unions or only Category 2 credit unions? If you have suggestions please provide them along with the supporting rationale and the associated additional requirements.

Note: Both Category 1 and 2 will be able to provide the additional services currently available under the Exemption from Additional Service Requirements Regulations which include:

- Account access by phone
- Account access by internet
- Third party payments (including EFT)
- ATM services
- Bureau de change
- Certain insurance services on an agency basis
- Group health insurance
- Bill payment
- Money transfers
- Standing orders
- Direct debits
- Financial counselling and
- PRSAs on an introduction basis.
- Some credit unions have been approved under Section 48 of the 1997 Act to provide other services including mortgages on a tied agency basis and some additional insurance services on a referral basis.

Additional Services	Category 1?	Category 2?	Comment
Insert Response			

Q4. Do you agree that a provisioning framework should be developed for credit union as proposed in Section 6.2? If you have additional proposals please provide them along with the supporting rationale.

A provisioning framework should be developed for credit unions but a response
necessitates that the Central Bank provide a more detailed explanation of the
preferred method they seek to impose.

Q5. Do you agree that the tiered regulatory approach should be introduced at this time? If you consider that alternative timing is more appropriate, please provide suggestions, along with the supporting rationale.

Credit Unions are undergoing massive changes at present implementing the new regulatory framework. An appropriate period should be allowed to embed these requirements. Many Credit Unions are considering restructuring at this time, a complex process requiring careful management.

Q6. If it is considered that the tiered regulatory approach should be introduced at this time, do you agree with the proposed timelines for the introduction of the tiered regulatory approach set out in section 7.1, in particular the transitional period proposed between the publication and commencement of the regulations? If you have other suggestions please provide them, along with the supporting rationale.

31 March 2014	Consultation closes				
March / April 2014	Review feedback received				
May 2014	Issue feedback statement				
	Issue second consultation paper, including Regulatory Impact				
	Analysis, on the details of the tiered regulatory approach including				
	regulations to implement the tiered regulatory approach.				
July 2014	Second consultation closes				
August / September 2014	Review feedback statement				
	Publish regulations and updated Credit Union Handbook				
	Conduct Information Seminars				
October 2014 – March 2015	Transitional period including applications to become Category 2				
April 2015	Regulations come into force				

[Insert response]			

Appendix 1: Impact of CP76 on the Investment Portfolio

Descriptio		escription	Current Allocation		% Portfolio	
Investments which are not authorised		overnment Bonds 5yrs)	1,016,	3%		
under proposals	Ba	nk Bonds	1,003,	1,003,400		
		llective Investment hemes	2,746,	8%		
	Str (Ba		626,8	2%		
	To	otal	€5.4m would allocated to d investment	16%		
		Direct Exp			Exposure	
		(no look-throug			k-through on CTT)	
		€ exposure < 100%RR	% RR	€ exposure < 100%RR	% RR	
Breaches of proposed	AIB	224,040	103%	883,314	113%	
counterparty limit	BOI	n/a		208,841	103%	
of 100% regulatory - reserves (€7m	PTSB	n/a		606,730	109%	
which is c. 21% of the investment portfolio)						
Total to be alloca	ted to	€224k	1% of the	€1.7m	5% of the	
alternative counterparties			portfolio		portfolio	
		% Portfolio	Proposed	Limit	OK/Breach?	
Maturity Limit: no more than 50% of the portfolio may mature after 3 years		18%	50%		OK	
		Unattached Shares	Proposed Minimum		OK/Breach?	
Proposed short term liquidity		33%	10% OI		OK	
constraints		33%	15%	OK		

Source: Davy with reference to the Central Bank consultation paper

Appendix 2: Impact of CP76 on the Lending Limits

Total Assets		42,981,926							
Regulatory Re	eserves	7,023,833	16.34%						
Loans to Mer	mbers	11,346,721							
			Categor	v 1	Cate	gory 2			
	Existing limits under	Term	% Loan Book	€limit	% Loan Book	€ limit			
	Section 35 (2) of the 1997	.c.iii	70 E0011 B0010	Cilling	70 LOUIT BOOK	Cimile			
Maturity	continue to apply. Longer	More than 5 years	30%	€3,404,016	40%	€4,538,688			
Limits	term limits will apply to Category 2.	More than 10 years	10%	€1,134,672	15%	€1,702,008			
	outegory 21	Note the definition used for personal bans, a significat		, ,					
		proportion of loans may now fall under commercial			Category 1			Category 2	
		rather than personal (e.g. sole traders)	Maximum Loan		Proposed	€ limit of		Proposed	€ limit of
	Classes of Lending	Definition	Term	Permitted?	Limit	total loans	Permitted?	Limit	total loans
	- Classes of Lenang	20				10141104110			1010110
		A loan provided to an individual for personal, family or							
		household use, once that use is for purposes unrelated to the							
	Personal Loan	person's trade, business or profession	15 years	Yes			Yes		
Classes of		A loan, the primary objective of which is to fund an activity							
Lending and	Commercial Loan	whose purpose to make a profit	15 years	Yes	25%	€1,755,958	Yes	100%	€7,023,833
Concentrati									
on Limits		A loan provided to a community or voluntary organisation which is established for the express purpose of further the							
	Community Loan	social, economic or environmental well-being of individuals.	15 years	Yes	25%	€1,755,958	Yes	25%	€1,755,958
	Lending to other credit	,	.,			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			,,
	unions		15 years	Yes	12.50%	€877,979	Yes	12.50%	€877,979
		A home loan made to a member to purchase their principle							
		private residence where the credit unions holds the first legal							
		charge on the property & the amt of the loan does not exceed 80% of the value of the property when the loan is made.	2E voors	No	2/2	n/a	Voc	15 000/	£1 702 000
	Home Loans	80% of the value of the property when the loan is made.	25 years	No	n/a	n/a	Yes	15.00%	€1,702,008
		<u> </u>				Note that th			
		Definition	Category 1 and 2			limits total ag			
					Limit of		s not a limit		
Restricted			Limit is the gr	Aggregate eater of lending to RP		per loan			
Person's		on is i) a member of the board of directors or the	Emilie is the bi	5%	renam _g to m				
Limits	_	credit union ii) a member of the family of a member of s or the management team of a credit union or iii) a		Regulatory					
		mber of the board of directors or the mangement team		Reserves					
		dit union has a significant shareholding	€200,000	€351,192	€351,192				
			,						
		Definition		Category 1 and 2					
			Large evenesure is						
			Large exposure is Total large exposures ca		posures can	1			
Laure				one which exceeds: be up to 500% Regulatory Limit of expo			ure to a borrowe		
Large		CACCCUS.	Reserves		connected borrowers		ers		
Exposure Limits		5% Regulatory							
Lillits			Reserves	Limit		Limit is the greater of Limi		Limit	
							10% Regulatory		
	A large exposure is an exposure (including contingent liabilities) that exceeds						Reserves		
	5% of	a credit union's Regulatory Reserves	€351,192	€35,11	19,165	€39,000	€702,383	€702,383	
				Currently, I			t is the greater of		
							1.5% total assets		
						€39,000	€644,729	€644,729	