Supervisory review and evaluation process (SREP)⁽¹⁾

010	Date of the	last update of information in this template	(13/07/2023)	
020	Scope of application of SREP (Articles 108 to 110 of CRD)	Description of the approach of the competent authority to the scope of application of SREP including: what types of institutions are covered by/excluded from SREP, especially if the scope is different from those specified in Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 and Directive 2013/36/EU:	Significant Institutions The ECB directly supervises all institutions that are classified as significant. Refer to the ECB disclosure for information on SREP for Significant Institutions (SIs) at https://www.bankingsupervision.europa.eu/banking/srep/2023/html/ssm.srep202302_supervisorymethodology2023.en.html	
		a high-level overview of how the competent authority takes into account the principle of proportionality when considering the scope of SREP and frequency of assessment of various SREP elements ⁽²⁾ .	Less Significant Institutions and Class One Minus MiFID investment firms (together "institutions") The direct supervision of Less Significant Institutions (LSIs) is performed by the National Competent Authorities (NCAs), with the ECB responsible for exercising oversight over the functioning of the system. The direct supervision of Class One Minus MIFID investment firms is performed by the NCAs.	
			The scope of application of the SREP is defined as follows: • For the EU parent institution of a group, the evaluation is conducted primarily on a consolidated basis i.e. at the level of the	
			group as a whole. This evaluation takes into consideration any matters arising from the evaluations of individual credit institutions i.e. at the level of the parent on a solo basis and of its principal subsidiaries.	
			 If the Central Bank of Ireland supervises a subsidiary that is consolidated into another credit institution, the evaluation is conducted primarily on a sub-consolidated basis. Account is nevertheless taken of the entity's position within the group. 	
			 For entities that do not belong to a group, the SREP is conducted on a solo basis. With regard to proportionality, the Central Bank of Ireland uses the SSM LSI classification framework, which allows flexibility to 	
			take into account the nature, size and complexity of the LSI. The principle of proportionality is also in the SSM LSI SREP Methodology, which is used for all LSI SREP assessments. The principal of proportionality, is applied to Class One Minus MiFID investment firms, which allows flexibility to take into	
			The 2020 SSM LSI SREP methodology booklet is available at	
			https://www.bankingsupervision.europa.eu/ecb/pub/pdf/ssm.srep_methodology_booklet_lsi_2020.en.pdf. Significant Institutions	
030	Assessment of SREP elements (Articles 74 to 96 of CRD)	Description of the approach of the competent authority to the assessment of individual SREP elements (as referred to in EBA Guidelines on common procedures and methodologies for SREP-EBA/GL/2014/13) including:	Refer to the ECB disclosure for information on SREP for SIs at	
		a high-level overview of the assessment process and methodologies applied to the assessment of SREP elements, including: (1) business model analysis, (2)	Lass Significant Institutions and Class One Minus MiFID investment firms The SREP is completed in line with the revised EBA Guidelines on common procedures and methodology for the supervisory review and evaluation process at	
		assessment of internal governance and institution-wide controls, (3) assessment of risks to capital, and (4) assessment of risks to liquidity and funding; a high-level overview of how the competent authority takes into account the	https://www.eba.europa.eu/sites/default/documents/files/document_library/Publications/Guidelines/2022/EBA-GL-2022- 03%20Revised%20SREP%20Guidelines/1028500/Final%20Report%20om%20Guidelines%20om%20common%20procedures%20 and%20methodologies%20for%20SREP%20and%20supervisory%20stress%20testing.pdf	
		principle of proportionality when assessing individual SREP elements, including how the categorisation of institutions have been applied ⁽³⁾ .	The scope of the SREP covers all the requirements in Directive 2013/36/EU (CRD), amending Directive (EU) 2019/878 and Regulation (EU) No. 575/2013 (CRR), amending Regulation (EU) 2019/876 and encompasses three main elements: • A Risk Assessment System (RAS) is used for evaluating an institution's risk levels and controls; • A comprehensive review of the institution's Internal Capital Adequacy Assessment Process (ICAAP) and Internal Liquidity Adequacy Assessment Process (ILAAP); and • A decision on relevant supervisory measures, if any.	
			Consistent with the EBA Guidelines on SREP, the SREP is comprised of the following elements: • Business model assessment; • Internal governance and risk management assessment; • Assessment of risks to capital; and • Assessment of risks to liquidity and funding.	
			The risks to which institutions are exposed are assessed by risk level and risk control, allowing for constrained supervisory judgement. Scoring is conducted in line with EBA Guidelines and for LSIs-SSM guidance. All risk elements are scored from 1-4 both on a risk level and risk control basis. These two scores are then combined to produce an overall score for the risk in question. The compilation of all risk elements score combine to produce an overall SREP score. The outcome of the risk is assessment can result in supervisory actions and measures. The principle of proportionality is also taken into account for both LSIs and Class for Minus MIRID investment firms. With regard to the former this is completed in line with the SSM LSI SREP Methodology, which is available at https://www.bankingsupervision.europa.eu/ecb/pub/pdf/ssm.srep_methodology_booklet_lsi_2000.en.pdf.	
			SREP assessments incorporate desk-based reviews, meetings with the senior management within the bank, onsite inspections, thematic reviews, etc.	
040	Review and evaluation of ICAAP and ILAAP (Articles 73, 86, 97, 98 and 103 of CRD)	Description of the approach of the competent authority to the review and evaluation of the internal capital adequacy assessment process (ICAAP) and internal liquidity adequacy assessment process (ILAAP) as part of the SERP, and, in particular, for assessing the reliability of the ICAAP and ILAAP capital and	Significant Institutions Refer to the ECG disclosure for information on SREP for SIs at https://www.bankingsupervision.europa.eu/banking/srep/2023/html/ssm.srep202302_supervisorymethodology2023.en.html Less Significant Institutions and Class One Minus MiFID investment firms	
		liquidity calculations for the purposes of determining additional own funds and quantitative liquidity requirements including ⁽⁴⁾ : an overview of the methodology applied by the competent authority to review the ICAAP and ILAAP of institutions;	For LSIs and Class One Minus MIFID investment firms subject to a SREP in any given year, an in-depth review is carried out. This is a full review of the ICAAP and ILAAP as updated and submitted by the institution (the information submitted is as set out in the 2016 EBA Guidelines on ICAAP and ILAAP information collected for SREP purposes). The Central Bank of Ireland's review of the ICAAP is influential in the assessment of capital adequacy and the quantification of Pillar II Capital Requirements	
		 Information/reference to the competent authority requirements for submission of ICAAP and ILAAP related information, in particular covering what information need to be submitted: information on whether an independent review of the ICAAP and ILAAP is required from the-institution. 	and Pillar II Capital Guidance imposed on each institution. The Central Bank of Ireland's review of the ILAAP is influential in the assessment of risks to liquidity and funding. In determining the reliability of ICAAP and ILAAP capital and liquidity calculations, an assessment is performed of the following: • The extent to which the dissipant of the ICAAP and ILAAP has been fully specified and documented;	
			 The extent to which the ICAAP and ILAAP forms an integral part of the institution's management processes; The extent to which the ICAAP and ILAAP is risk-based and covers all material risks to which the institution is or might be exposed; The extent to which the ICAAP and ILAAP is regularly reviewed; 	
			 The extent to which the ICAAP and ILAAP is forward looking and integrated with the institution's strategic plans; and The reasonableness and adequacy of measurement and assessment processes. 	
		Description of the approach of the competent authority to the overall SREP assessment (summary) and application of supervisory measures on the basis of	Significant Institutions Refer to the ECB disclosure for information on SREP for SIs at https://www.bankingsupervision.europa.eu/banking/srep/2023/html/ssm.srep202302_supervisorymethodology2023.en.html	
050	Overall SREP assessment and supervisory measures (Articles 102 and 104 of CRD)	the overall SKEP assessment.". Description of how SREP outcomes are linked to the application of early intervention measures according to Article 27 of Directive 2014/59/EU and determination of conditions whether the institution can be considered failing or likely to fail according to Article 32 of that Directive.	the institutions' risk appetite, supervisory quantifications used to verify and challenge the institutions' estimates, risk assessment outcomes (including risk level and risk control assessments), the outcome of stress testes, and the supervisor's overall risk priorities. The Central Bank of Ireland may consider supervisory measures to rectify or mitigate deficiencies in controls and/or risk management as part of the SREP. Measures available include:	
			 Requiring institutions to hold additional capital or liquidity; Requiring improvements in internal controls and risk frameworks; Requiring the institution to apply a specific provisioning policy or treatment of assets in terms of own funds requirements; Restricting or limiting the business operations; and Requiring institutions to reduce the inherent risk in their activities. 	
			The outcome of the SREP analysis and any supervisory measures imposed are communicated to the institution in writing and the institution is given an opportunity to respond. Supervisory measures are both quantitative, imposing additional capital and liquidity requirements, and qualitative whereby a risk mitigation programme is imposed on a credit institution. A risk mitigation programme identifies the risk to the institution and imposes an action on the institution, which should ensure that the risk is reduced. Breaches of regulatory requirements may be the subject of an administrative sanction under Part III c of the Central Bank Act 1942. Please see more on our Enforcement Process at https://www.centralbank.ie/regulation/how-we-regulate/enforcement.	
			As part of the SREP assessment, the supervisors will make a determination on the financial, capital and liquidity situation of the institution. An analysis is completed of the institution's own key risk indicators. A determination is made as to whether the institution is likely to breach its capital and liquidity requirements. If there is any indication of a deteriorating financial condition, the Central Bank of Ireland may impose supervisory measures (including early intervention measures) on the institution. If, on these bases, an 'F' SREP score is assigned to an institution (i.e. that one or more of the Bank Recovery and Resolution Directive's failing or likely to fall conditions are met), then the supervisor would engage with the relevant resolution authority in accordance with the applicable procedures.	

⁽DCompetent authorities shall disclose the criteria and methodologies used in rows 020 to 040 and in row 050 for the overall assessment. The type of information that shall be disclosed in form of an explanatory note is described in the second column.

¹⁰The scope of SREP to be considered both at a level of an institution and in respect of its own resources.

A competent authority shall aeplain the approach used to classfy institutions into different categories for SREP purposes, describing the use of quantitative and qualitative criteria, and how financial stability or other overall supervisory objectives are affected by such categorisation. A competent authority shall also explain how categorisation is put in practice for the purposes of ensuring at least a minimum engagement in SREP assessments, including the description of the frequencies for the assessment of all SREP elements for different categories of institutions.

⁽D)Including working tools e.g. on-site inspections and off-site examinations, qualitative and quantitative criteria, statistical data used in the assessments. Hyperlinks to any guidance on the website are recommended.

⁽⁴⁾Competent authorities shall also explain how the assessment of ICAAP and ILAAP is covered by the minimum engagement models applied for proportionality purposes based on SREP categories as well as how proportionality is applied for the purposes of specifying supervisory expectations to ICAAP and ILAAP, and in particular, any guidelines or minimum requirements for the ICAAP and ILAAP the competent authorities have issued.

⁽⁶⁾The approach competent authorities apply to arrive to the overall SREP assessment and its communication to the institutions. The overall assessment by competent authorities is based on a review of all the elements referred to in row 020 to 040, along with any other relevant information about the institution that the competent authority may obtain.

⁽a) Competent authorities may also disclose the policies that guide their decisions for taking supervisory measures (within the meaning of Article 102 and 104 of the CRD) and early intervention measures (within the meaning of Article 27 of the Bank Recovery and Resolution Directive (BRRD)) whenever their assessment of an institution identifies weaknesses or inadequacies that call for supervisory intervention. Such disclosures might include the publication of internal guidelines or other documents describing general supervisory practices. However, no disclosure is required regarding decisions on individual institutions, to respect the confidentiality principle.

Furthermore, competent authorities may provide information regarding the implications if an institution violates relevant legal provisions or does not comply with the supervisory or early intervention measures imposed based on the SREP outcomes, e.g. it shall list enforcement procedures that are in place (where applicable).