



MEDICAL INSURANCE COMPANY DAC

Solvency and Financial Condition Report

For Financial Year Ending 31st December 2019 (the “reporting period”)

Contents

Section	Page
Executive Summary	3
A. Business and Performance	5
B. System of Governance	11
C. Risk Management	22
D. Regulatory Balance Sheet	30
E. Capital Management	37
F. Quantitative Reporting Templates	41

Executive Summary

The purpose of this report is to satisfy the public disclosure requirements under the European Union (Insurance & Reinsurance) Regulations 2015 including the applicable European Commission Delegated Regulations and European Commission Implementing Regulations. The elements of the disclosure relate to business performance, governance, risk profile, solvency and capital management.

Over the past number of years, the Board of Directors (“the Board”) of Medical Insurance Company DAC (“MIC” or “the Company”) has put in place significant measures to strengthen the corporate governance framework, including the risk management function, in compliance with the requirements of the Solvency II regulatory system, which became effective from 1 January 2016. The governance and risk frameworks are detailed further in this report. There have been no significant changes to these frameworks in the reporting period.

At the end of 2019 MMA IARD Assurances Mutuelles (“MMA IARD AM”) owned 99.9% of the Company.

This report provides the reader with a more in depth look at the Company’s business and performance, systems of governance, risk profile and solvency and capital positions. These elements are summarised below, and expanded on in more detail in the body of the report.

Business and Performance

The principal activity of the Company has consisted of the underwriting of medical malpractice risks in France, Spain and Switzerland. As all underwriting activity ceased with effect from 1 December 2015, the Company’s principal activity is now the management of medical malpractice claims and risks. The Company operates a branch operation in Switzerland.

Financially, the Company reported a profit of €4.5m on an Irish GAAP basis. This arises primarily from the recognition of a reinsurance recovery in respect of reinstatement premium, which was agreed with the reinsurance counterparty during the year. The Company has also complied with all aspects of the Solvency II Regulations.

Solvency and Capital Position

The Company continues to benefit from actions taken by the Company in 2018 to increase to 100% the Quota Share reinsurance arrangement with MMA IARD SA. This quota share treaty covers all remaining risks not otherwise reinsured under previously existing reinsurance arrangements. The positive effect of these actions continues to be reflected in the 2019 financial results and solvency position.

The Company’s Own Funds for Solvency II purposes total EUR43m and are comprised of EUR38m of shareholders’ funds and EUR5m of subordinated debt, which is ranked as Tier 1 Restricted for Solvency II purposes.

Key Risks

The Company’s key risks are Reserve Risk, Claim Risk, Reinsurance Default Risk, Financial Risk, Outsourcing Risk, Credit Risk and Liquidity Risk. There continues to be a residual Underwriting Risk from “Subsequent Claims” – i.e. claims arising from practitioners who retire or change specialty subsequent to run-off. These risks, together with other risks including investment risk, reputational risk, currency risk and cyber risk, are actively managed through a combination of mitigation

techniques (such as quota-share and excess-of-loss reinsurance), management oversight and internal audit and compliance reviews.

System of Governance

The Company maintains a robust system of governance, including the appointment of independent directors, establishment of key functions, internal audit and detailed procedures and policies covering risk management. In addition, there is oversight from and regular reporting to, the Company's parent entity, Covéa Group.

Where there is limited detail provided in a particular section, a proportionate approach has been taken due to the scale, nature and complexity of the Company.

A. Business and Performance

A.1 Business and External environment

Name and Legal Form

The name and legal form of the undertaking is Medical Insurance Company Designated Activity Company. The Company is registered as a designated activity company limited by shares under Part 2 of the Companies Act 2014. The Company's registered number is 351120.

Name and contact details of the supervisory authority responsible

The name and contact details of the supervisory authority responsible for financial supervision of the undertaking is the Insurance Supervision Division of the Central Bank of Ireland, PO Box 559, New Wapping Street, North Wall Quay, Dublin 1, Ireland. Telephone: +353 1 224 6000.

Name and contact details of external auditor

PricewaterhouseCoopers
One Spencer Dock
North Wall Quay
Dublin 1

Holders of qualifying holdings in undertaking

MMA IARD Assurances Mutuelles ("MMA IARD AM") holds 99.9% of the Company's issued share capital.

Details of group

Cov  a Group of Insurance Mutuals (Soci  t   de Groupe d'Assurance Mutuelle or "SGAM"), a special French status, was created in 2003 and operates a number of different brands in France and other countries. Total group turnover in 2018 (latest available data) was EUR 16.9bn.

The Company is part of the Cov  a SGAM, which is ultimately controlled by nine mutual members, divided into four "clusters", as detailed in the group structure chart on page 6 below. Relations between these entities are governed by an affiliation agreement.

The four "clusters" are:

- **MMA cluster:** MMA IARD Assurances Mutuelles, MMA Vie Assurances Mutuelles, Le Finist  re Assurance, SMI
- **MAAF cluster:** MAAF Assurances, MAAF Sant  , Apgis
- **AM cluster:** Assurances Mutuelles de France
- **GMF cluster:** La Garantie Mutuelle des Fonctionnaires

Certain affiliated companies are shareholders of Cov  a Coop  rations, a French reinsurance company that in turn directly or indirectly owns all or part of the capital of the Group's operational companies. Cov  a Coop  rations is thus the pivotal entity between the SGAM's affiliated companies and the Group's operational companies as illustrated in the group structure chart below.

Description of material lines of business and geographical areas

General Liability Insurance covering professional medical malpractice in France and Spain with a small branch operation in Switzerland.


Significant business or other events in the reporting period which have had a material impact on the undertaking

During 2017 the Company entered into a quota share reinsurance arrangement with MMA IARD SA, a fellow-subsiary of MMA. In 2018 the quota share rate was increased to 100%. The impact of this arrangement continues to be reflected in the 2019 full-year financial results of the Company, whereby all gross claim payments and reserve movements are fully offset by corresponding reinsurance recoveries.

The name and location of the legal or the natural persons that are direct and indirect holders of qualifying holdings in the undertaking (including the immediate and ultimate parent entity or natural person, the proportion of ownership interest held and, if different, the proportion of voting rights held).

MMA IARD AM is a mutual insurer owned by its individual members. It therefore has no ultimate single or majority beneficial owner(s).

Simplified Group structure



Objectives and Strategies

The principal activity of the Company has consisted of the underwriting of medical malpractice risks in France, Spain and Switzerland. As all underwriting activity ceased with effect from 1 December 2015, the Company's principal activity is now the management of medical malpractice claims and risks. The Company operates a branch operation in Switzerland.

The Company has entered into a Claims Handling and Reinsurance Services agreement with its broker, SAS Francois Branchet ("Cabinet Branchet"), under which the latter assists in managing the orderly run-off of the Company's outstanding claims. Following the decision to cease underwriting the Company has made provision for the necessary operational and financial resources required.

A.2 Performance from Underwriting Activities

Business Lines

The Company was established in December 2001, with the purpose of transacting Medical Malpractice Insurance in Europe on a cross border basis. The Company's policies covered many specialities including general practitioners, surgeons, anaesthetists and plastic surgeons. The Company's cover was limited to physicians and a small number of office surgeries, with the exclusion of any healthcare organisation.

Historically the Company has written a single line of business – Medical Malpractice Insurance of Private Practitioners – in France, with small volumes of business written in Spain and Switzerland. The Swiss and Spanish operations have not been separately analysed for the purpose of this Report as they are immaterial in the context of the total figures.

No new policies have been underwritten from 1 December 2015. Therefore, the Company came off-risk from the last of its policies on 30 November 2016, with the important exception of claims arising from run-off cover ("Subsequent Claims"), whereby retired insureds are covered by their last insurer for up to 10 years after their retirement, or for 5 years following a change of specialty.

In 2019 and 2018 no inward premium was written or earned.

An analysis of performance for the last two underwriting years is summarised as follows:

Euro ('000)	2019	2018
Line of Business	Liability	Liability
Underwriting Income 2019	139	(8,049)
Allocated investment return transferred from the non-technical account	0%	0%
Net Operating Expenses	4,293	(242)
Underwriting Profit (Loss)	4,432	(8,291)

Reinsurance

The Company relies heavily on reinsurance, historically ceding up to 80% of its business and purchasing Excess of Loss cover.

Throughout the Company's history the reinsurance programme has been a quota share with XoL protection purchased on the Company's retention.

The Company entered into a quota share reinsurance arrangement with MMA IARD SA in 2017, whereby 75% of the Company's reserves for the commuted years were reinsured by MMA IARD SA. In September 2018, this quota share was extended to 90% of the above treaty years.

With effect from 1 October, 2018, the Company extended the quota share treaty with MMA IARD SA to reinsure 100% of all reinsurance treaties, leaving MIC with nil net claim reserves.

A.3 Performance from Investment Activities

(a) MIC does not seek to assume risk with its investment portfolio. Capital protection is a priority to minimise the risk of unanticipated shocks to the Company's (solvency and economic) capital position. Given the long-tail nature of its insurance liabilities the Company seeks to duration-match its liabilities to minimise Asset-Liability Matching risk, subject to liquidity risk constraints.

In 2016 the Company established an investment portfolio comprising investment-grade government and corporate bonds. The rationale behind setting up the portfolio was to improve asset-liability duration matching and reduce concentration risk in the existing asset mix while simultaneously achieving a positive medium-term yield. The maximum average duration of the investment portfolio is 5 years.

For investments with a maturity of 5 years or greater, counterparties with a rating lower than S&P "A+" are approved by the Board in advance. Investment counterparties with an exposure greater than 10% of the Company's invested assets are approved by the Board in advance.

Where developments occur so that any of the above limits are breached (e.g. if an investment counterparty is downgraded below investment grade) this is brought to the attention of the Board along with risk mitigation options. All investments in alternative or non-standard asset classes are approved in advance by the Board. No such assets are currently held.

The Company maintains its investments in cash equivalents, short term deposits with EU regulated credit institutions and government bonds. In late 2018 corporate bonds and portions of the government bond holdings were liquidated to fund the settlement of additional reinsurance premium payable for the 100% quota share treaty with MMA.

The investment performance has been satisfactory in view of recent and current market conditions in which it is difficult to avoid negative yields. The table below summarises investments by counterparty:

Investments (Nominal)	Maturity	€'000
Cash at Bank		
Bank of Ireland current account	N/A	2,911
Société Générale current account	N/A	14,040
UBS	N/A	107
Banque Cantonale de Fribourg	N/A	1,492
Deposits		
Société Générale	16/01/2020	5,000
Investment Portfolio		
Government Bonds	Various	17,592
Cash in Custody Account	Call	652

(b) No gains or losses were recognised directly in equity.

(c) The Company had no direct investments in securitisation, during the reporting period or previous reporting period.

Operating/other expenses

When the decision was made in 2015 to enter run-off, a “run-off reserve” was created as a provision for future expenses. The run-off reserve, which was increased in recent years, was substantially reduced in 2019 due to the establishment of an Unallocated Loss Adjustment Expense (“ULAE”) provision. This provision is intended to cover the costs of managing and servicing claims for the foreseeable future – for the purposes of this calculation a timeframe of 9 years has been deemed appropriate. The ULAE provision does not include all operating expenses.

Due to the anticipated level of MIC’s fixed costs and in order to allow for future development of the claims management function, an allocation of approximately €6.29m has been made to the ULAE in the 2019 financial accounts, comprising a transfer from the Profit & Loss account and a reallocation from the existing run-off reserve account.

It is anticipated that the remainder of the run-off reserve will be extinguished by the end of 2020.

The quantum of the ULAE provision will be reviewed at least annually by the Company’s Board.

A.4 Performance of other activities

There have been no other significant activities undertaken by the Company.

A.5 Any other information

There have been no other material developments regarding the business and performance of the Company during the reporting period.

B. System of Governance

B.1 General information on the system of governance

(a) As at the reporting date, the Company has 7 directors, including two independent non-executive directors (INEDs). Four of the other directors are non-executive. The Company has two Committees, the Audit Committee and the Risk Committee, each chaired by an INED. In addition to one executive director, the Company has 5 direct employees. Furthermore, all key functions, whilst outsourced, are the responsibility of the Board.

Overview

The Company is classified as a Medium-Low Impact firm under the Central Bank of Ireland's risk-based framework for the supervision of regulated firms, known as PRISM (Probability Risk and Impact System). The Company is subject to the Central Bank of Ireland's Corporate Governance Requirements for Insurance and Reinsurance Undertakings 2015.

The Board has ultimate responsibility for the oversight of the business and sets its strategy and risk appetite. The Board also has responsibility for ensuring that an adequate and effective system of internal controls is maintained in the Company. The Company is committed to maintaining high standards of Corporate Governance. The Company takes a risk based approach to the system of governance taking into consideration the nature, scale and complexity of its business.

A suite of policy documentation and checklists supports the corporate governance regime of the business ensuring robust procedures and a strong internal control environment at all times. Oversight controls around key business processes and outsourced activities are a focus of the work undertaken by the Internal Audit function. The Board of Directors also undertakes completion of an annual Board performance questionnaire. The results of the questionnaire are tabled at the next Board meeting for discussion and consideration.

The following Key Functions have been established by the Board to assist it in discharging its obligations. Each Key Function operates under defined terms of reference. Each Key Function is responsible for providing oversight of and challenge to the business and for providing assurance to the Board in relation to the Company's control framework.

Key Functions

The table below summarises the Company's key functions:

<i>Outsourced Activity</i>	<i>Service Provider</i>	<i>Responsible Person in Service Provider</i>	<i>Responsible Person in Company</i>
Actuarial Function	Milliman	Eoin O'Baoighill	Larry Sherin (CEO)
Internal Audit Function	CSI	Gerry Hunt	Gerry Fahy (CFO)
Compliance	Allied Risk Management	Christina Kelly	Gerry Fahy (CFO)
Risk Function	Allied Risk Insurance and Reinsurance Services	Dr Dermot Marron	Larry Sherin (CEO)

Head of Actuarial Function (HoAF)

The function of the HoAF is outsourced to Mr Eoin O'Baoighill of Milliman. The HoAF reports directly to the Board. The responsibilities of the HoAF and the Actuarial Function, in line with guidance from the Central Bank of Ireland and the Society of Actuaries, include, but are not limited to implementing/overseeing the following:

- coordinate the calculation of technical provisions;
- ensure the appropriateness of the methodologies and underlying models used as well as the assumptions made in the calculation of technical provisions;
- assess the sufficiency and quality of the data used in the calculation of technical provisions;
- compare best estimates against experience;
- inform the administrative, management or supervisory body of the reliability and adequacy of the calculation of technical provisions;
- oversee the calculation of technical provisions in the cases set out in Article 82 of Directive 2009/138/EC;
- Provide an Actuarial Function Report;
- Provide an Actuarial Opinion on Technical Provisions;
- Express an opinion on the adequacy of reinsurance arrangements; and
- Contribute to the effective implementation of the risk-management system, in particular with respect to the risk modelling underlying the calculation of the capital requirements and capital adequacy assessment.

Head of Internal Audit

The activity of the Internal Audit function is outsourced to Mr Gerry Hunt of Control Solutions International ("CSI"), who is the designated Head of Internal Audit. The Head of Internal Audit reports to the Audit Committee, and directly to the Board if appropriate. The responsibilities of the Internal Audit Function include, but are not limited to, the following:

- Assisting in establishing and maintaining an audit plan setting out audit work to be undertaken, taking into account all activities and the complete system of governance of the Company;
- Establishing priority areas, using a risk-based approach, for examination by Internal Audit;

- Implementing the audit plan in accordance with the Company's directions;
- Reporting the audit plan to the Board of the Company (or any Sub-Committee thereof);
- Issuing an internal audit report to the Board or any Sub-Committee, on at least an annual basis, based on the result of work carried out, which includes findings and recommendations to the Board or any Sub-Committee including the envisaged period of time to remedy any shortcomings and the persons responsible for doing so, and information on the achievement of audit recommendations;
- Verifying compliance with the decisions taken by the Board or any Sub-Committee thereof on the basis of those recommendations.

Compliance Function

The activity of the Compliance Function is operated through a formal outsourcing arrangement with Allied Risk Management Limited. The Company's Compliance Officer is Ms Christina Kelly. The Compliance Function has responsibility for the implementation of the Company's Compliance Policy and effective compliance processes. The Compliance Officer reports to the Board at each Board meeting and as issues arise to the Board Chairperson. The responsibilities of the Compliance Officer include, but are not limited to the following:

- Regular reporting to the Board on all applicable regulatory compliance standards;
- To develop a compliance monitoring plan;
- To review policies, procedures and systems on a regular basis to ensure effective compliance and to advise the Board and senior management as to steps necessary to ensure compliance;
- To report on significant instances of non-compliance to the Board and senior management;
- To make recommendations to Board and senior management where change is required;
- To review staff training processes so as to ensure appropriate compliance competencies;
- Managing the Company's relationship with the Central Bank of Ireland;
- To maintain the Company's Breach Register and operational event log.

Risk Management Function

The activity of the Chief Risk Officer is appointed and operated through a formal outsourcing arrangement with Allied Risk Insurance and Reinsurance Services Limited to oversee the implementation of the Company's Risk Management Policy and reporting to the Board. The Company's Chief Risk Officer is Dr Dermot Marron. He reports to the Risk Committee and directly to the Board if appropriate.

The responsibilities of the Chief Risk Officer include:

- The oversight of and adherence to the Company's Risk Management System;
- To ensure there are effective processes in place to identify, manage, monitor and report the risks to which the Company might be exposed. The Board will then determine whether the issue is of such significance that it needs to be reported to the Central Bank of Ireland;
- Preparation of the annual 'Own Risk and Solvency Assessment' (ORSA) for Board consideration;
- Preparation of regular Reserve Reports;
- Facilitating the setting of the Risk Appetite Statement.

(b) there have been no material changes in the system of governance that have taken place over the reporting period;

(c) due to the scale, nature and complexity of the Company, the Company has not required the establishment of a Remuneration Committee;

B.2 Fit and proper requirements

(a) the Company has adopted a Fitness and Probity Policy which sets out the due diligence checks that must be performed in accordance with the Central Bank of Ireland's Guidance on Fitness and Probity Standards. The Company recognises the importance and value of the fit and proper requirements and it has a system in place to review the ability, competence, skills and integrity of candidates for a position on the Board or for other Key Functions.

Selection and recruitment process for Pre-Approved Control Functions (PCF) and other Key Function Holders

- A written job description outlining the duties and responsibilities for the role;
- An assessment of the level of fitness and probity required for the role;
- Advertisement of the position (if necessary);
- Interview process to match suitable candidates to the specific role;
- Capture fitness and probity due diligence referred to below;
- Upon Board and Central Bank approval, letter of appointment issued and training provided.

(b) the process for assessing the fitness and the propriety of the persons who effectively run the undertaking or have other PCF functions is summarised as follows:

1. Interview and application
2. The Company conducts its own fitness and probity due diligence before proposing a person for appointment to a PCF. The due diligence required is referenced within the Central Bank of Ireland's Guidance on Fitness and Probity Standards. The following is captured:
 - a. Evidence of a relevant professional qualification;
 - b. Confirmation of continuous professional development;
 - c. Evidence of professional membership of an organisation (where applicable);
 - d. Reference checks;
 - e. Review record of previous experience, including a review of curriculum vitae;
 - f. Record of experience gained outside the State (where applicable) – consider the extent to which the person can demonstrate competency that relates specifically to the function within the State;
 - g. Review of list of directorships and concurrent responsibilities;
 - h. Checks are also undertaken with the Regulator and the Companies Registration Office. A judgment debt check is performed;
 - i. Signed Fitness and Probity declarations;
 - j. Individual Questionnaire.
3. A PCF holder from the Company will review the Individual Questionnaire, complete a declaration on behalf of the Company and submit the Individual Questionnaire to the Central Bank of Ireland for assessment.
4. As part of the continuing obligations, annual declarations are sought from all PCF's, each PCF file is reviewed and an annual PCF return is submitted to the Central Bank of Ireland via the online reporting system.

B.3 Risk Management System

(a) the Company has established a number of risk management policies including:

- Risk Appetite Statement which includes an escalation procedure;
- Operational Risk Policy;
- Capital Management Policy;
- Investment Risk Policy;
- Liquidity Risk Policy;
- Reserving Policy;
- Operational Risk Policy;
- ORSA Policy;
- Reinsurance and Risk Mitigation Policy;
- Reporting Policy;
- Data Quality Policy; and
- A Data Directory.

The Company defines operational risk as the risk of loss arising from people, processes or systems, or external events. This includes risks such as regulatory risk and operational risks such as fraud risk, IT risk, market risk and reputational risk. It excludes quantifiable risks, which are set out separately in the Company's Risk Appetite Statement. The Risk Appetite Statement is subject to a detailed annual review by the Board. The Company aims for zero operational risk loss events, and whilst such risk cannot be eliminated completely, the strategy is to minimise such risk through a robust governance framework, systems and controls.

(b) the risk management system including the risk management function is implemented and integrated into the organisational structure and decision-making processes of the Company via:

- adequately resourced compliance function staffed by experienced compliance professionals
- adequately resourced internal audit function with a regular review cycle
- business continuity plan (including Disaster Recovery Planning)
- succession plan for key staff and roles
- monthly and quarterly financial and KPI reporting

The Risk Appetite Statement adopted by the Board sets out the level of risk that MIC is prepared to accept in the pursuit of its strategic objectives. It includes:

- MIC's overall philosophy to risk taking and the expectations of shareholders;
- The Risk Appetites and Tolerances that are acceptable in terms of exposures to different types of risk.

MIC's performance is assessed in terms of its compliance with the specified Risk Appetite, which are integral for a robust monitoring programme. Over time the Risk Appetite is subject to modification as the business and its environment changes. The Risk Appetite Statement is reviewed at least annually by the Board of Directors.

The Risk Appetite Statement sets out and quantifies (where applicable) the level of risk acceptable to the MIC Board. It includes metrics for the risks referred to in B.3 (a) above, and uses a Red/Amber/Green colour coding system to identify various levels of risk and tolerances.

B.4 Own Risk and Solvency Assessment

The ORSA process described below relates to the full ORSA conducted prior to year-end 2019. It should be noted that the Company completed a number of partial ORSAs during 2015 in relation to the TRC commutation and the decision to go into run-off, during 2016 in order to compose and

update its recovery plan for submission to the Central Bank of Ireland and during 2017 and 2018 as part of the purchase of the MMA IARD SA Quota Share. As such the 2019 ORSA Process was not so much a discrete process but a continuous process throughout the past 5 years.

- **Risk Identification**

This built upon the 2014-2018 ORSA processes, and included

- a number of discussions involving the Board and management to identify key risks to the balance sheet and the business;
- a review of the balance sheet and Actuarial Review of Technical Provisions ("ARTP");
- a detailed presentation to the Board of the Company's SCR and how it is calculated, including the key drivers of the SCR;
- considerable involvement from the Group, the Company's Board and Risk Committee and the Actuarial Function in identifying appropriate stress and scenario tests to consider.

The decision of the Company to go into run-off has a significant impact on the risks to be assessed and their relative weighting, with particular focus on the risk of run-off, including:

- Reserve risk;
- Claim management;
- Reliance on Cabinet Branchet;
- Speed of claim payment.

- **Financial Projections**

The next step in the process was to project the Profit & Loss Account and Balance Sheet of the Company forward up to year-end 2019. The accounts were projected on an Irish GAAP basis and converted to Solvency II Balance Sheets to calculate the Solvency Capital Requirements.

Using the projected Balance Sheet, the Company's capital requirements were estimated annually up to year-end 2025 on a Solvency II basis. Because the Company is in run-off and future expenses have already been reserved, the Board was able to use these projections to see the medium-term position of the Company in relation to its capital requirements over the period.

- **Stress & Scenario Testing**

The third step of this ORSA process was for the Board and Management to examine the impact of a range of stresses and scenarios on the Company's solvency position. These included both quantitative and qualitative scenarios, and also a reverse stress test approach, to identify how severe a loss would have to be to result in a breach of solvency. The proposed stresses and scenarios tests were presented to the Board at the outset of the ORSA process for consideration.

A more detailed description of the approach taken for this exercise and also an overview of its results is presented in the section entitled "Stress & Scenario Testing".

- **Qualitative Discussion of Risks**

The 2019 ORSA Process continued the increased emphasis on qualitative consideration of risks in addition to financial and solvency projections.

- **Board and Management Discussion and Review**

The final step in the ORSA Process was the presentation of the Draft Projections, Stress and Scenario Test to the Board and Management. This prompted further discussion and review of the Scenario and Stress Tests, with further scenarios being considered at the request of the Board.

- **Board Sign-off**

Following this final iteration, the final ORSA Report was reviewed and approved by the Board.

- Integration into Decision-making process

The results of the ORSA projections were used to inform, inter alia, the level of SCR coverage, reinsurance purchasing (including the increase in the quota share treaty with MMA IARD SA) and investment policy including the duration and mix of investments.

- Results

The following table summarises the Company's forecast SCR / MCR position, using the Standard Formula, over a 3-year projection period.

The key assumptions are:

- Speed of claim payout; and
- Accuracy of reserves.

The forecast assumes run-off in accordance with the payout pattern derived by MIC; this payout pattern is regularly reviewed.

The Best Estimate reserves as at 30 September 2019 were used in the forecast, with no deterioration or amelioration assumed. (Deterioration is considered in the stress tests below.)

The Company meets its SCR at all times in the forecast.

EUR 000	2020	2021	2022
Available Capital	41,384	40,707	39,858
SCR	12,672	11,459	10,445
SCR Margin	28,712	29,247	29,413
SCR Coverage Ratio	327%	355%	382%
MCR	3,700	3,700	3,700
MCR Margin	37,684	37,007	36,158
MCR Coverage Ratio	1118%	1100%	1077%

B.5 Internal Control

(a) Internal Control System

The principal control framework for the Company is the controls which are set at Board level.

These controls include:

- Board approved policies;
- reports;
- terms of reference;
- schedule of matters arising to be addressed;
- minutes of board meetings.

The policies describe the Board's approach to key areas of the business. The Board is ultimately responsible for overseeing and maintaining the adequacy and effectiveness of the internal control system; however day-to-day oversight is provided by the Compliance Officer. In practice, other Directors and key role holders also participate in the management of the system. The Company's internal controls are part of its compliance framework. Various measures are incorporated into systems and processes to control day-to-day activities.

The Company implements adequate controls to ensure compliance and to highlight any significant breakdown in controls or inadequacy of process.

The Compliance Officer is responsible for ensuring that all company policies are reviewed at least annually to ensure that they are still fit for purpose. The relevant area of the business is responsible for ensuring that their procedures are up to date and reflect how the business operates. All reviews are recorded and versions controlled. All amendments are submitted to the Board for approval. A compliance monitoring programme is in place to review all of its regulatory requirements. This is completed by the Compliance Officer on a regular basis and forms part of the compliance report to the Board.

The Internal Audit Function and appointment of External Auditors also provides independent assurance to the Board.

As set out previously in this report, the Company has established the four key independent control functions required under the Corporate Governance Requirements for Insurance and Reinsurance Undertakings 2015 - actuarial, internal audit, compliance and risk management. These functions are responsible for providing oversight of and challenge to the business and for providing assurance to the Board in relation to the Company's control framework.

Where any functions or activities are outsourced, the Company expects that any outsourcing entity manages its control framework to the same standards as the Company, adheres to the Company's policies and procedures and employs fit and proper people in its controlled functions. The Company has a Service Level Agreement in place with each outsourced entity with Key Performance Indicators set to ensure attainment of expected performance. KPIs are regularly reported to the Board. Attestations are also received from the Service Provider in respect to the ongoing fitness and probity of its Key Control Functions. Any significant or material event that occurs requires immediate reporting to the Board.

(b) Compliance Function

The Board supports the Compliance Function and makes available such resources as are necessary. It provides access to all relevant documentation and information from the business for the Compliance Function to fulfil its role.

A Compliance Officer is appointed through a formal outsourcing arrangement with Allied Risk Management Limited who have responsibility for the Compliance Function. The Compliance Officer ensures the Company's continuing compliance in relation to its regulatory and legal obligations. It aims to minimise the risks to the Company of material financial loss or reputational damage arising from the potential failure to comply with legal or regulatory requirements. The Compliance Officer liaises with regulatory bodies and authorities and provides updates on changes in legislation and regulatory requirements.

The Compliance Officer has responsibility for the implementation of the Company's Compliance Policy and effective compliance processes and is responsible for the monitoring, managing and reporting of compliance risks to which the Company is exposed. It ensures that arrangements are sufficiently robust, proportionate, effective and efficient. The Compliance Officer is responsible for identifying and evaluating compliance risk, overseeing the implementation of controls for the risks identified, and monitoring their efficiency through Compliance Monitoring.

Compliance auditing occurs to check that the Company is adhering to its obligations. Compliance reports are issued to the Board assessing the effectiveness and adequacy of compliance within the company. The activities of the Compliance function are subject to periodic review by Internal Audit.

On an ongoing basis, the Compliance Officer strives to ensure that an organisational culture is in place which promotes a high standard of integrity and regulatory compliance.

B.6 Internal audit function

The internal audit function is governed by the Company's internal audit policy and is implemented via an outsourced Service Level Agreement with CSI.

The Internal Audit Function is an integral part of the Company's internal control framework. It operates in accordance with relevant codes of conduct.

The Head of Internal Audit prepares a three-year internal audit plan in consultation with the Audit Committee, which considers risk areas and business priorities. The extent and frequency of the audits included within the plan are also risk based. The plan considers factors such as risk associated with the activity, materiality, results from previous audits and external audit findings. The plan is presented to the Board for approval. If the plan changes significantly during the year, the updated audit plan will be submitted to the Audit Committee for approval.

The Audit Committee considers internal audit plans, resourcing and reporting. The purpose, scope and responsibilities of the Internal Audit Function are set out in a Service Level Agreement.

It is the responsibility of the Internal Audit Function to independently assess the effectiveness of the internal control system, governance and risk management systems and to provide to the Board an evaluation of the adequacy of such systems and controls. The Head of Internal Audit has a duty to highlight and report any significant control failings or weaknesses identified and the impact they have had, or may have and the actions and timings which management have agreed to take to rectify them.

It is the objective of the Internal Audit Function to provide independent assurance that risk management processes are operating effectively and in accordance with required legislation and regulation. To ensure that effective controls are in place to mitigate risks or reduce those risks to an acceptable level in accordance with the Company's defined risk appetite.

The Internal Audit Function has unrestricted access to senior management and the Board. It is independent from the day-to-day operations of the business which allows it to maintain its independence and objectivity from the activities it reviews. The current structure enables the Head of Internal Audit to provide an independent opinion regarding a system, process or control. The Head of Internal Audit must provide confirmation to the Board, on at least an annual basis, of an assessment of the effectiveness of the Company's systems of risk management and internal controls.

Following the conclusion of each audit, an audit report is prepared and issued by the Head of Internal Audit which includes management responses. This report is presented to the Company's senior management and to the Audit Committee. A log of all internal audit recommendations is maintained and the actions items are monitored until they are completed.

B.7 Actuarial function

The Actuarial Function is outsourced to Milliman Limited. Milliman's actuaries attend every appropriate board meeting and receive regular updates on claim activity. The Company's Technical Provisions are subject to quarterly review with a report presented annually detailing the Actuarial Function's Best Estimate claims reserves and Solvency II Technical Provisions.

Provision of Data to the Head Of Actuarial Function (“HOAF”)

It is the Company’s policy to provide any data relevant to the HOAF’s role in compiling and signing the required actuarial reports as soon as is reasonable after the data become available.

Typically, the data the Company provides include quarterly management accounts for the period, year-end financial statements, quarterly claims bordereaux and details of any significant events known to the Company, which have the potential to materially affect the Company’s reserves and/or the HOAF’s ability to sign actuarial opinions and reports, including case estimates on claims. The Company satisfies itself as to the reliability of case estimates provided in the bordereaux, and will make adjustments to these case estimates to reflect all known information if required.

It is the Company’s policy to ensure that the data provided to the HOAF is fully reconciled to the data used in preparing the Company’s Annual Accounts and Regulatory Returns for the period. All claims data used for claim handling, claims reserving and financial accounting come from a single claims database. On a monthly basis any new claims listed in the bordereaux are reconciled with the data in the claims system. A second check is carried out on the quarterly bordereaux by the broker’s actuaries.

The Company also ensures that the HOAF has access to the Company’s staff, Board and Board Committees with regard to any queries the HOAF may have surrounding the data or any data checks the HOAF feels are appropriate.

The Company, normally through the CFO or CRO, ensures that it informs the HOAF of any internal reports (such as Internal Audit Assessments), internal information or data which may be relevant to the Company’s reserves. To this effect, the Company works to provide the HOAF with any further information or data he/she deems necessary in order for him/her to complete their reports, within the above scope.

Any developments occurring after the year end are disclosed to the HOAF if they have the potential to materially affect the Best Estimate. This may require the compilation of a supplementary report setting out any effects since the last valuation date.

There have been no material changes to the Company’s claims handling procedures or claims reserving philosophy or procedures during the past year. In order to enhance its management and oversight of large claims, the Company has established a Reserving Committee, comprising representatives of the Company and Cabinet Branchet, which meets on a quarterly basis to review all large claims (currently defined as > EUR 1,000,000).

In accordance with the Covéa Group’s governance standards, responsibility for reserving was assumed by the Company (who outsourced the role to Allied Risk) with Milliman as Actuarial Function performing a review and validation role. This was effected during Q3/Q4 2017.

The key elements of the Q3 2018 reserve review were as follows:

- Subsequent claims (i.e. sunset claims and change of specialty claims) are projected separately when estimating the Company’s technical provisions.
- Change of approach from a reporting year basis of calculation to an underwriting year basis;
- Improved reliability of mapping from underwriting year to reinsurance treaty year;
- Application of the Number of claims x Average cost per claim (ACPC) methodology to the 2016 underwriting year (previously an Expected Loss Ratio method was applied);
- Increase in the number of claim size strata from 3 to 5;

- Analysis of the book as a whole rather than by specialty;
- Different weightings applied to derive the ACPC by stratum and by year.

B.8 Outsourcing

The Company has an outsourcing policy, the purpose of which is to establish the requirements for identifying, justifying, and implementing outsourcing arrangements for the Company's critical or important operational functions or activities. This policy has been approved by the Board. This policy and Outsourcing arrangements are subject to an annual review by the Board. The Board ensures that an outsourcing arrangement shall not diminish the Company's ability to fulfil its regulatory obligations.

The Company only enters into an Outsourcing arrangement where there is a sound commercial basis for doing so and where it can be effectively managed. A full due diligence process is undertaken prior to any final decision being made as to whether to outsource a material business activity. In undertaking this assessment, the Company adheres to the Central Bank of Ireland Notification Process for (Re)Insurance Undertakings when Outsourcing Critical or Important Function or Activities under Solvency II.

The following is a list of the critical or important functions the Company has outsourced and the jurisdiction in which the Outsourced Service Providers are located:

<i>Outsourced Activity</i>	<i>Service Provider</i>	<i>Responsible Person in Service Provider</i>	<i>Responsible Person in Company</i>	<i>Jurisdiction Located</i>
Actuarial Function	Milliman	Eoin O'Baoighill	Larry Sherin (CEO)	Dublin, Ireland
Internal Audit Function	CSI	Gerry Hunt	Gerry Fahy (CFO)	Dublin, Ireland
Administration Services, including: - Administration and Facilities - IT Infrastructure	Allied Risk Management	Frank Coyle	Gerry Fahy (CFO)	Dublin, Ireland
Compliance	Allied Risk Management	Christina Kelly	Gerry Fahy (CFO)	Dublin, Ireland
IT Technical Support	IT Force	John Heerey	Stuart Scott	Dublin, Ireland
Various services including - invoicing, - claims handling, - reserving and reinsurance services	Cabinet Branchet	Philippe Auzimour & Caroline Brillet	Yvanne Picard (Head of Claims)	Grenoble, France

Risk Function	Allied Risk Insurance and Reinsurance	Dr Dermot Marron	Larry Sherin (CEO)	Dublin, Ireland
----------------------	---------------------------------------	------------------	--------------------	-----------------

B.9 Considering the nature, scale and complexity of the risks inherent in the business, the Company is very satisfied with its assessment of the adequacy and appropriateness of its system of governance.

B.10 Any other disclosures

There is no other material information regarding the system of governance of the Company.

C. Risk Management

C.1 Risk Profile

(a) Underwriting Risk

The Company has no further exposure to Underwriting Risk as the exposure period has now expired (end-November 2016). There will however be some “Subsequent Claims” – i.e. claims arising from practitioners who retire or change specialty after that period. At this stage, there is little further the Company can do to manage or mitigate this risk – the reinsurance in place is on a risk-attaching basis on an underwriting year basis and remains in place until the exposure is fully run-off.

A quantitative breakdown of the underwriting risk as measured by the Solvency II Standard Formula is as follows:

	SCR (EUR'000)
Premium Risk	0
Reserve Risk	1,791
Diversification Credit	0
Premium and Reserve Risk	1,791
Catastrophe Risk	0
Non-life lapse	0
Diversification Credit	0
Non-life Underwriting Risk SCR	1,791

(b) Reserve Risk

The most significant risks remain the run-off of the book and potential for the emergence of new claims and deterioration of existing claims. Historically the Company has used its broker's actuaries for reserving, with the reserves validated by Barnett Waddingham and latterly Milliman through the annual SAO process. With the introduction of Solvency II Milliman took over the reserving role. from 2017 onwards the reserving role was performed by Allied Risk Management on behalf of the Company with Milliman as Actuarial Function performing the review and validation role as required under the Solvency II regulations.

The extension of Quota Share reinsurance arrangement with MMA IARD SA to 100% coverage of residual risks has significantly reduced the overall reserve risk. The majority of the risk now derives from the ULAE expense element of the Company's technical provisions.

(c) Claim Risk

This is a subset of reserve risk and is particularly relevant for companies in run-off. There have been situations in the past where companies in run-off are taken advantage of by claimants as there may be a perceived lack of controls over claims and costs. Claims can deteriorate for a number of reasons, including:

- Claims inflation;
- Bad luck;
- Pressure to run-off book quickly results in winnable claims being settled sooner for higher amounts;
- changes in legislation;
- Dependence on a single claims handling provider (Cabinet Branchet);
- Lack of oversight resulting in lack of control over claim costs.

The Board consider this an area of high priority for the Company, and the Company has established mechanisms to actively manage this risk.

Medical malpractice claims experts from the Covéa group have visited Cabinet Branchet offices in Grenoble and Paris to review Cabinet Branchet's approach to the run-off of MIC's claims. In particular, these experts have reviewed the resources required to run-off the claims and the strategy and approach to claim settlement and closure.

The Reserving Committee takes a pro-active approach to claim handling, settlement and closure that mitigates claim risk to some degree.

The Company is also investigating the management of claims payable as annuities; currently the Company has 7 such claims in payment with a small number (less than 20) of such claims possible in the near future. Possible solutions include transferring such claims to other entities, either within or outside the Covéa group. Claims payable as annuities represent a risk to the Company should such claims become more prevalent.

(d) Reinsurance Default Risk

The Company has considerable amounts of reinsurance recoverables on its balance sheet. The biggest counterparty is MMA IARD SA, part of the Covéa group which has a Standard & Poor's A+ rating. As at 31 December 2019 MMA IARD SA also had an A+ rating with S&P. The Covéa Group rating was upgraded in January 2020 to AA-. Covéa Luxembourg also is a reinsurance counterparty – for the purpose of the SCR calculation, Covéa Luxembourg and MMA IARD SA are treated as a single counterparty.

The remainder of the reinsurance panel is a diverse group of well-rated counterparties. All reinsurer credit ratings are monitored on a quarterly basis; all have a rating of A or better. The new 100% quota share treaty with MMA IARD SA includes cover for reinsurer default.

Following implementation of the additional quota share coverage to 100%, the exposure to MMA IARD SA has been further increased; the impact of this increase in exposure is considered in the MMA Downgrade scenarios below.

The Board has discussed at some length strategies to mitigate this risk. Notwithstanding this, it is accepted that Covéa group rating is very strong, and thus this risk is considered very remote.

(e) Financial Risk

The Company is exposed to financial risk through its financial assets, financial liabilities, reinsurance assets and policyholder liabilities. In particular the key financial risk is that the proceeds from

financial assets are not sufficient to fund obligations arising from contracts with policyholders. The most important components of this financial risk for the Company are credit risk and liquidity risk.

(f) Credit Risk

The Company's key credit risks are in relation to reinsurers' shares of insurance liabilities and to its bank deposits and financial investments. Reinsurance is used to manage insurance risk. This does not, however, discharge the Company's liability as primary insurer. If a reinsurer fails to pay a claim, the Company remains liable for the payment to the policyholder. The creditworthiness of its reinsurers and its bankers is considered on a continuous basis by monitoring their financial strength. All reinsurer credit ratings are monitored on a quarterly basis; all have a rating of A or better.

Counterparty credit risk is the risk that a party to a financial instrument will fail to discharge an obligation thus causing the Company to incur a financial loss. As part of the underwriting process the Company chooses to cede risk to reinsurers with A or higher credit ratings. The Company has the following balance sheet amounts carrying exposure to credit risk:

- Amounts recoverable from Reinsurers - EUR 257,449,033 (**2018:** EUR 280,554,808)
- Financial investments and cash at bank - EUR 41,794,876 (**2018:** EUR 124,568,002)

Other credit risk includes the risk that the value of investments will fall due to a deterioration in the credit rating of investments within the Company's investment portfolio.

The Risk Committee assesses reinsurers' counterparty credit risk by reviewing the reinsurers share of insurance liabilities. It monitors these amounts and whether they are in line with the Company's risk appetite, implementing additional risk mitigation measures or escalating to the Board if the risk appetite is exceeded.

Credit risk presented by reinsurers is mitigated by:

- using 'A' rated reinsurers or better wherever possible;
- monitoring the credit rating of current and historic rated reinsurers;
- using a select number of reinsurers (reducing contagion risk).

The following table provides information regarding the aggregated credit risk exposure of financial assets

		Reinsurers' share of Claims Outstanding and Debtors arising out of reinsurance operations	Financial investments and cash at bank
Rating agency	Credit ratings 2019		
Standard & Poor's	AA+		9%
Standard & Poor's	AA		4%
Standard & Poor's	AA-		7%
Standard & Poor's	A+	79%	2%
Standard & Poor's	A	9%	45%
Standard & Poor's	A-	8%	7%
Fitch	AA-	1%	9%
Moody's	Aa2		7%
Moody's	Baa1		6%

Moody's	Baa3		4%
Standard & Poor's	BBB+		0%
Standard & Poor's	BBB-		0%
Other	N/A	3%	0%
		100%	100%

(g) Liquidity Risk

Liquidity risk is the risk that cash may not be available to pay the Company's obligations when they are due, at a reasonable cost. The reputational consequences for MIC of being unable to pay claims as they fall due because of liquidity constraints means that the Company has a very low Liquidity Risk tolerance.

Therefore the Company maintains a significant proportion of its funds in liquid form and maintains sufficient liquidity even at unexpected levels of demand. However, this does not mean that the Company keeps all its assets in liquid form and the liquidity policy is considered in the context of the Investment Risk Policy.

Liquidity risk limits are set to be at least as much as a 1-in-200 measure of the Company's Liquidity Risk. That is, the Company aims to hold sufficient liquid assets to withstand a 1-in-200 year Liquidity Event.

As a general rule the Company always holds sufficient immediately liquid assets to cover one month's expected total cash requirements. The Company has determined that liquidity risk does not currently represent a significant risk to its business. This assessment is based on the fact that all its shareholders' funds are held in liquid assets and claims settlements and reinsurance collections are carefully coordinated.

Below is a summary of MIC's current investment portfolio, including an outline schedule of maturities.

MIC Investments	Dec-19	< 30 days	30 to 90 days	90 days to 6 mths	6 mths to 1 year	> 1 year
	€'000	€'000	€'000	€'000	€'000	€'000
Financial Assets	Total					
Current Accounts	18,551	18,551				
Time Deposits	5,000		5,000			
Government Bonds	18,244					18,244
TOTAL	41,795	18,551	5,000	0	0	18,244

(h) Market risk

The Company monitors and manages the market risks relating to the operations of the Company through internal risk reports which analyse exposures by degree and magnitude of risks. These risks include counterparty risk, interest rate risk, credit risk, spread risk, currency risk and liquidity risk.

(i) Currency risk

The Company is exposed to currency risk in respect of liabilities under policies of insurance denominated in currencies other than euro (i.e. Swiss Franc). The Company seeks to mitigate the risk by matching the estimated foreign currency denominated liabilities with assets denominated in the same currency. In addition the Company operates a foreign branch, whose net assets are exposed to foreign currency translation risk.

(j) Interest rate risk

Interest rate risk is that the value of future cashflows of a financial investment will fluctuate due to changes in interest rates. The Company has duration matched its liabilities within liquidity risk constraints to reduce interest rate risk.

The Company ensures interest rate risk does not represent a significant exposure to its business or solvency through its investment strategies.

The Company's Solvency II Standard Formula measure of market risk is as shown below. Concentration Risk arises from having term deposits in a relatively small number of institutions.

Risk	Capital Charge EUR'000
Interest rate risk	1,055
Equity risk	-
Property risk	-
Spread risk	70
Currency risk	399
Concentration risk	908
Sum of Charges	2,432
Diversification Effect	(907)
Market Capital Charge	1,525

(k) Operational risk

Operational risk is defined as the risk of loss arising from people, processes or systems, or external events. This includes risks such as legal risk, regulatory risk and risk resulting from third parties. It excludes insurance risk, market risk and counterparty default risks covered in other risk policies. It is generally qualitative in nature. Such risks include:

- Legal Risk;
- Fraud Risk;
- Reinsurance legal dispute;
- IT Risk;
- Compliance Risk;
- Health and Safety.

The Company has a full suite of governance policies and processes which further limit operational risk. The introduction of Solvency II with its governance requirements (including the requirement for Internal Control, Internal Audit, Actuarial and Risk functions) assists in further reducing operational risk.

New data privacy regulations (General Data Protection Regulations or "GDPR") came into force in the EU on 25 May 2018, and the Company had a project plan led by the CEO to ensure compliance. The Company was fully compliant with GDPR by the implementation date. Measures taken included staff training, updating all relevant policies, appointing a Data Protection Officer and ensuring that outsourced service providers were made aware of their responsibilities to the Company.

The Company's operational risk is currently considered low.

(l) Cyber risk

Cyber risk is a key risk for financial institutions. This risk has been discussed by the Board. Because of the sensitive nature of the Company's claims information, MIC has always been particularly sensitive

to its IT security obligations under the Data Protection Acts; notwithstanding the foregoing a number of measures were taken during 2018 and 2019:

- A full test of the Company's Disaster Recovery Plan took place in early 2018 and 2019;
- In 2018, the Company gained access to Cabinet Branchet's "Compassur" system through a cloud-based server; a risk assessment of the cloud-based server is currently underway.

Also in 2018, Cabinet Branchet changed its IT services provider to a new IT firm (Artemis Solutions Ltd, who have been engaged to manage and support all of Cabinet Branchet's IT platforms. The provider's specialist background is IT security and data management. They have implemented enhanced security features on Compassur. In 2018, Cabinet Branchet decided to replace the Compassur system with "BranchAssur". MIC management has maintained oversight of the transition process which is ongoing.

- The Company performed a series of successful tests of its Data Backup and Recovery processes;
- The Company met with Artemis Solutions Ltd. on two occasions to discuss further progress on cyber security within Cabinet Branchet;
- Artemis confirmed that Compassur will remain in use for claims handling for the foreseeable future. This greatly reduces any risk to Company data. MIC management continue to maintain oversight of the process;
- The Company embarked on a Data Destruction Exercise in line with its GDPR requirements. This reduces the impact of a cyber security breach should one occur;
- The Company continues to use the Secure File Transfer System and Shared Space for securely transferring files to and from Cabinet Branchet.

Other material risks

There are no other material risks identified by the Company.

C.2 (a) Risk measures

The Company uses the Solvency II Standard Formula as its measure for the quantitative assessment of risk as more detailed in the previous paragraphs. The Company also has an established Risk Appetite Statement to assess risks in the Company and a description of the measures used therein are as follows: there have been no material changes over the reporting period:

Green	Green represents the Risk Appetite of the Company, it represents the level of risk the Company is willing and able to accept to satisfy its strategic objectives
Amber	Amber represents Risk Tolerances and acceptable variances which will be brought to the attention of Board and will require monitoring
Red	Red represents the Limit for each risk, (where possible) it indicates when action needs to be taken to stop or change a situation which may result in an unacceptable level of risk to the Company

C.2 (b) The nature of material risk exposures

The material risks that the Company is exposed to are:

- (i) the risk of adverse claims developments; there have been no material changes over the reporting period.
- (ii) Risk of reinsurer failure or default, as reinsurance is such a significant element of the Company's balance sheet
- (iii) Investment risk, particularly bank default.

C.2 (c) Investments in accordance with the 'prudent person principle'

The Company is required to invest all assets and particularly assets used to cover the minimum capital requirement and the solvency capital requirement in accordance with the 'prudent person principle'. The prudent person principle defines that the assets must be invested in a manner acceptable to a 'prudent person' – that is that the decisions are generally accepted as being sound for the average person.

Accordingly, the Company maintains its assets in cash equivalents, short- and medium-term deposits with EU regulated credit institutions and government bonds with a maturity of up to 5 years.

C.3 The nature of material risk concentrations

The Company has some concentration risk in its investment portfolio with exposure to various banks in Ireland, the UK and France. The Company also has some concentration risk in its reinsurance assets with concentrations of exposure to specific reinsurers.

Concentration risk is managed and mitigated through diversification and the imposition by the Risk Committee of limits of exposure to any one bank or institution and minimum security requirements. Credit ratings of investment and reinsurance counterparties are constantly monitored.

C.4 Risk mitigation practices

The Company's main risk mitigation practice is the purchase of reinsurance:

- Quota share reinsurance to reduce the retained risk. Under the new arrangements with MMA, retained risk has been eliminated;
- Excess of loss reinsurance to reduce exposure to any one loss or loss event;
- A strategy to minimise exposure to the risk of a downgrade of MMA (albeit this risk is considered very remote) is being explored.

Market risk is not considered sufficiently material to merit risk mitigation strategies such as hedging or swaps.

C.5 Liquidity Risk

The Expected Profit in Future Premiums calculated in accordance with Article 260(2) of the Delegated Acts is nil.

C.6 Risk sensitivities

In its ORSA Process the Company considered a number of both quantitative and qualitative stress and scenarios, including reverse stress tests. These were as follows:

Qualitative:

Cabinet Branchet has insufficient resources to manage the Claim Run-off process.

Quantitative:

- MMA Downgrade by 1 Credit Quality Step
- MMA Downgrade by 2 Credit Quality Steps
- Downgrade of Reinsurance Counterparty
- Claim deterioration - 10% increase in (gross) reserves across all underwriting years
- 50% Increase in Exceptional (Gross) Claims Reserves

Scenario	DESCRIPTION	Impact - up to two years
MMA Downgrade by 1 Credit Quality Step in 2020	Downgrade of MMA credit rating by 1 Credit Quality Step	SCR met in each period; the downgrade increases the capital required to be held
MMA Downgrade by 2 Credit Quality Steps in 2020	Downgrade of MMA credit rating by 2 Credit Quality Steps	SCR not met in each period; the downgrade increases the solvency capital requirement
Reinsurer Default in 2021	Downgrade of large (non-MMA) reinsurer to BBB	Minor impact on SCR
Reserves deterioration - 10%	10% increase in (gross) reserves across all underwriting years.	Limited impact on SCR due to 100% reinsurance
50% Increase in Exceptional Claims Reserves	50% Increase in Exceptional (Gross) Claims Reserves	Bigger impact on SCR but SCR met in each period
Repayment of Subordinated Debt in 2021	Repayment of €5m Subordinated Debt in 2021	Reduction of €5m in available capital. Limited impact on SCR

The Company met its SCR throughout the projection period in some but not all of the scenarios considered above, as indicated. In January 2020 Covéa Group's credit rating was upgraded to AA-. This upgrade will have a material positive impact on the Company's SCR in 2020, and as a result the Company now meets SCR in all of the above scenarios.

Reverse Stress tests

Severe claim stresses were examined as part of the 2019 ORSA. However, the revised quota share arrangement with MMA IARD SA provides full protection against deterioration of reserves.

C.7 Any other disclosures

There is no other material information regarding the risk profile of the Company during the reporting period.

D. Regulatory Balance Sheet

D.1 (a) Assets

As at 31st December 2019, the Company held the following assets:

Medical Insurance Company DAC Assets (EUR 000's)	Current Accounting Bases	SII Valuation Principles
Goodwill		
Deferred Acquisition Costs		
Intangible Assets		-
Deferred Tax Assets		-
Pension benefit surplus		-
Property, plant & equipment held for own use	4	4
Investments	22,569	22,569
Property (Other than Own Use)		-
Participations and related undertakings		-
Equities (Other than Participations)	-	-
Equities (Other than Participations) - Listed		-
Equities (Other than Participations) - Unlisted		-
Bonds	17,568	17,568
Government and Multilateral Banks	17,568	17,568
Corporate		-
Structured Notes		-
Collateralised Securities		-
Collective Investments Undertakings		-
Derivatives		-
Deposits other than cash equivalents	5,001	5,001
Other Investments		-
Mortgages and Loans Made	-	-
Mortgages & loans to individuals		-
Other Mortgages & loans		-
Loans on Policies		-
Reinsurance recoverables	257,449	255,163
Reinsurance share of TP - non-life excluding health	257,449	255,163
Reinsurance share of TP - health similar to non-life		-
Deposits to cedants		-
Insurance & Intermediaries Receivables	8,980	2,772
Reinsurance Receivables	13,715	7,291
Receivables (trade, not insurance)		-
Own Shares		-
Amounts due in respect of own fund items or initial fund called up but not yet paid in		-
Cash & Cash Equivalents	19,227	19,227
Any Other Assets, Not Elsewhere Shown	12	12
Total assets	321,955	307,037

Recognition

Financial assets are recognised when the Company becomes a party to the contractual provisions of the instrument.

Initial measurement

All financial assets are initially measured at transaction price (including transaction costs), except for those financial assets classified as at fair value through profit or loss, which are initially measured at fair value (which is normally the transaction price excluding transaction costs).

Subsequent measurement

No realised or unrealised gains and losses arising from changes in the fair value of investments are presented in the non-technical profit and loss account in the period in which they arise as any such earnings are credited against the provision for run-off expenses. Likewise, dividend and interest income and investment management and other related expenses are not recognised when earned as these amounts are credited against the run-off reserve.

D.1 (b)

There are no material differences between the bases, methods and main assumptions used for the valuation of assets for solvency purposes and those used for their valuation in financial statements.

D.2 Technical provisions

The Company wrote a single line of business – Medical Malpractice Insurance of Private Practitioners; this is classified as Class 8 General Liability under Solvency II. The Company pays some of its claims as annuities. For reporting purposes, these claims are classified as Annuities stemming from non-life insurance contracts and relating to other health insurance obligations; this can be seen in the S.12.01.01 Life and Health SLT Technical Provisions QRT in the appendices. These claims are not separated out in the Technical Provisions table below:

Technical Provisions	31/12/2019	31/12/2018	Change
	(EUR'000s)	(EUR'000s)	(EUR'000s)
Claims Provision Gross	260,589	247,234	(13,355)
Premium Provision Gross	0	0	0
Gross BEL	260,589	247,234	(13,355)
Reinsurance Recoverables	255,163	244,268	(10,895)
Net BEL	5,426	2,966	(2,460)
Risk Margin	2,627	4,399	1,772
Technical Provisions Net of Recoverables	8,053	7,365	(688)

The Company calculates its Technical Provisions using a Frequency x Average Cost per Claim method, although other methods are also considered.

Claims are divided into the following strata for the analysis:

- Stratum 1 – Less than EUR 10k;
- Stratum 2 – EUR 10k up to EUR 50k;
- Stratum 3 – EUR 50k up to EUR 250k;
- Stratum 4 – EUR 250k up to EUR 1M;
- Stratum 5 – Greater than EUR 1M.

Historical numbers of reported claims were used to derive the ultimate number of notified claims. Ultimate numbers were projected using a transition matrix representing the probability of claims transitioning from one stratum to another. The calibration of this transition matrix has been performed on an annual basis. Development factors derived from data from underwriting year 2002 and onwards were used, taking into account the most recent reserving practices.

As an enhancement in the projection methodology, for the 2018 and 2019 year-end calculations the Subsequent Claims were projected separately.

A number of approaches are taken to estimate the average cost per claim in each stratum, including an analysis of average cost of open claims, average cost of closed claims, average cost of all claims, with adjustments for inflation and changes in exposure. The chosen average cost per claim is a blend of the various approaches, with the weight attaching to each blend being dependant on the maturity of the year.

The ultimate cost is then derived by multiplying the frequency by the average cost per claim. An expense provision and allowance for Events not in Data are then added to give a Gross Ultimate Cost of Claims.

The resulting Gross Ultimate Cost of Claims is adjusted for paid claims to date and the reinsurance programme applied (including allowance for reinsurer default) to derive the best estimate net reserve.

The Company has both Quota Share and Excess of Loss reinsurance on all years. The quota share reinsurance of all residual liabilities (not already covered under existing treaties) which was purchased during 2018 means that, except for allowances for reinsurer default and future claim handling expenses, the Company has no net Technical Provisions.

In 2019 the Company established a provision for ULAE expenses on its statutory balance sheet; these expenses have been allocated to Technical Provisions on a Solvency II-adjusted basis.

A payout pattern derived from the past experience of the book is applied to the gross and ceded reserves to derive a net payout pattern which is then discounted, using the EIOPA published risk-free curve for EURO as at 31 December 2019, to give the Claim Provision.

Annuity claims in payment are valued using market standard mortality tables, adjusted for the individual circumstances of the lives in question.

(b) a description of the level of uncertainty associated with the value of technical provisions;

The Technical Provisions are subject to considerable uncertainty. In particular,

- Medical Malpractice itself is a volatile class of business, which has had a turbulent history in France as it has in many other European countries;
- Environmental changes, such as inflation, jurisprudential changes or changes in indemnification guidelines used by courts to set reserve for loss of earnings and future care costs, changes in legislation, etc. can have a significant impact on medical malpractice claims;
- Medical Malpractice is a long tail class of business therefore and more recent underwriting years of account are relatively immature which increases the uncertainty of estimates for those years;
- Even where the completeness and accuracy of the data records may be of a high standard it is unlikely that they are perfect. Any imperfections add a degree of uncertainty to the results based upon the data;

- The book has been in run-off since 2015. Many of the smaller claims have already settled. What remains includes larger and more volatile claims that are more difficult to predict. The choice of reserving method on large claims can result in material differences in estimates.

MIC has considered several sensitivity tests to illustrate the sensitivity of the reserves to the uncertainty, including the following:

Scenario	Shock Applied
Scenario 1	Cut-off adjusted from 9 years to 8 years
Scenario 2	Cut-off adjusted from 9 years to 10 years
Scenario 3	Cut-off adjusted from 9 years to 11 years
Scenario 4	Inflation rate of 1% applied to all strata
Scenario 5	Inflation rate of 2% applied to all strata
Scenario 6	Inflation rate of 3% applied to all strata
Scenario 7	Inflation rate of 5% applied to all strata
Scenario 8	Inflation rate of 6% applied to all strata

Undiscounted Claims Reserves - Gross of Reinsurance	BEL (EUR M)	Change (EUR M)	Change %
Base	257		
Scenario 1	254	(3.4)	-1.3%
Scenario 2	266	11.8	4.6%
Scenario 3	280	14.3	5.6%
Scenario 4	244	(36.4)	-14.2%
Scenario 5	248	4.4	1.7%
Scenario 6	253	4.6	1.8%
Scenario 7	262	9.5	3.7%
Scenario 8	267	5.0	1.9%

The Company uses the undiscounted Best Estimate Claims Provision as a floor for the Technical Provisions booked in its Financial Statements, with an appropriate margin for prudence added to this figure. This margin is EUR 6M (gross) and EUR 0M (net). Due to the current reinsurance arrangement the net Best Estimate Provision is largely insensitive to “claims scenarios”.

The Company does not apply the matching adjustment referred to in Article 77b of Directive 2009/138/EC.

The Company does not use the volatility adjustment referred to in Article 77d of Directive 2009/138/EC.

The Company does not apply the transitional risk-free interest rate-term structure referred to Article 308c of Directive 2009/138/EC.

The Company does not apply the transitional deduction referred to in Article 308d of Directive 2009/138/EC.

There were no material changes in the assumptions made in the calculation of Technical Provisions in the past year.

D.3 (a) Other liabilities

As at 31st December 2019, the company recorded the following liabilities for solvency purposes

Medical Insurance Company DAC Liabilities (EUR 000's)	Current Accounting Bases	SII Valuation Principles
Gross Technical Provisions – Non-Life (Excluding Health)	263,742	263,216
<i>TP calculated as a whole (Best estimate + Risk margin)</i>	263,742	
<i>Best Estimate</i>		260,589
<i>Risk Margin</i>		2,627
Gross Technical Provisions - Health (Similar to Non-Life)	-	-
<i>TP calculated as a whole (Best estimate + Risk margin)</i>		
<i>Best Estimate</i>		-
<i>Risk margin</i>		-
Other Technical Provisions		
Contingent Liabilities		-
Provisions Other Than Technical Provisions		-
Pension Benefit Obligations		-
Deposits from Reinsurers		-
Deferred Tax Liabilities		-
Derivatives		-
Debts owed to credit institutions		-
Financial liabilities other than debts owed to credit institutions		-
Insurance & intermediaries payables	6,208	-
Reinsurance payables	6,424	-
Payables (trade, not insurance)		-
Subordinated liabilities	5,000	5,000
Subordinated liabilities not in BOF		-
Subordinated liabilities in BOF		5,000
Any other liabilities, not elsewhere shown	770	770
Total liabilities	282,144	268,986
Assets less Liabilities	39,811	38,050

Any other liabilities, not elsewhere shown

This account represents the run-off reserve described in Section A3 on page 10 under the heading “Operating/other expenses”.

D.3 (b) Solvency II technical provisions are discounted and must include any expenses relating to the servicing of insurance or reinsurance agreements, any payables or receivables which are not past due and an allowance for reinsurer default. Apart from this, there are no material differences between the bases, methods and main assumptions used for the valuation for solvency purposes and those used for its valuation in financial statements.

D.4 Any other disclosures

In January 2019 the Company settled the balance of reinsurance premium due to MMA IARD SA in respect of the increased quota share limit. There is no other material information regarding the risk profile of the Company during the reporting period.

The significant accounting policies used in the preparation of the entity financial statements are set out below. These policies have been consistently applied to all financial years presented, unless otherwise stated.

Basis of preparation

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with FRS 102 requires the use of certain key assumptions concerning the future, and other key sources of estimation uncertainty at the reporting date. It also requires the directors to exercise its judgement in the process of applying the Company's accounting policies. The areas involving a higher degree of judgement or areas where assumptions and estimates have a significant risk of causing a material adjustment to the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities within the next financial year are disclosed below.

Going concern

The directors have a reasonable expectation that the Company has adequate resources to continue in operational existence for the foreseeable future. Therefore, the financial statements have been prepared on a going concern basis.

Basis of accounting

The entity financial statements have been prepared under the historical cost convention, as modified by the measurement of certain financial assets and liabilities at fair value through profit or loss. As the Company has no premium income, the technical result is determined at the end of each accounting period whereby the incurred cost of claims, commission and related expenses are charged against the Profit and Loss account.

Claims incurred comprise claims and related expenses paid in the period and changes in provisions for outstanding claims, including provisions for claims incurred but not reported and related expenses. Where applicable, deductions are made for salvage and other recoveries.

Estimation techniques - claims outstanding

Outstanding claims comprise provisions for the estimated cost of settling all claims incurred up to, but not paid at, the balance sheet date, whether reported or not, together with all related claims handling expenses. Provisions for reported but unpaid claims at the balance sheet date are based on appropriate technical claims assessments. Provisions for claims that have been incurred but not reported (IBNR) at the balance sheet date are based upon the results of an actuarial evaluation undertaken by independent actuarial consultants.

Financial investments

Financial investments comprise deposits with credit institutions and investments in government bonds and are measured at fair value through the profit and loss account.

Deferred tax

Provision is made for deferred taxation on all timing differences that exist at the balance sheet date. Deferred tax assets are recognised when it is more likely than not that they will be recovered. At present no such deferred tax asset is recognised.

Foreign currency

The Company's head-office and its Swiss branch maintain their accounting records in their respective local currencies. To the extent that they incur transactions in foreign currencies, these are translated into the respective local currencies at exchange rates prevailing when such transactions occur. Monetary assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currencies are translated into the respective local currencies at exchange rates prevailing at the balance sheet date. The translation gains or losses arising are taken to the Statement of Comprehensive Income.

The Company's financial statements are presented in euro, which is the Company's presentation currency.

The results and financial position of the branch are translated into the presentation currency as follows:

- assets and liabilities are translated at the balance sheet date;
- income and expenses are translated at the average rate of exchange during the year; and
- all resulting exchange differences are recognised through the statement of comprehensive income.

Critical accounting judgements and estimation uncertainty

Estimates and judgements are continually evaluated and are based on historical experience and other factors, including expectations of future events that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances.

Significant judgement in applying the accounting policies

In the application of the Company's accounting policies, as described above, the directors are required to make judgements, estimates and assumptions about the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources.

Critical accounting estimates and assumptions

The Company makes estimates and assumptions concerning the future. The resulting accounting estimates will, by definition, seldom equal the related actual results. The estimates and assumptions that have a significant risk of causing a material adjustment to the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities within the next financial year relate primarily to the actuarial assumptions used in the determination of claims outstanding.

E. Capital Management

E.1 (a) Own funds

The positive effect of the measures taken in 2018 to strengthen the Company's Solvency Capital Ratio, including an increase in issued share capital and increase in reinsurance cover to 100%, continues to be reflected in the 2019 financial results and solvency position.

The Company's solvency position at 31 December 2019 is as follows:

YE 2019	EUR 000
SCR	14,794
Available Capital SCR	43,050
SCR Coverage Ratio	291%
SCR Margin	28,256
MCR	3,698
Available Capital MCR	43,050
MCR Coverage Ratio	1164%
MCR Margin	39,352

The Company's share capital of EUR 99M is fully paid up. It has EUR 5M of subordinated debt from its parent company, and does not have any plans to raise debt or issue new shares in the short or medium term.

The Company's own funds are invested in cash, fixed-term money market deposits and government bonds. There is no current intention to change this investment strategy.

The medium-term capital management plan set by the Board is as follows:

- Target SCR coverage (see above) to be sufficient to absorb *at least* a 10% increase in gross Technical Provisions;
- No capital is planned to be issued in the short or medium term;
- No dividends are anticipated in the short or medium term; and
- Own fund items are to be invested in external bank deposits, cash or bonds in accordance with the Board's approved counterparty limits as set out in the Company's Investment Policy.

E.1 (b), (c) and (d) Own funds

The Company classifies its own funds as tier 1, tier 2 or tier 3 depending on the characteristics of the capital. Tier 1 capital is the best form of capital for the purposes of absorbing losses.

The Company's own funds are as follows.

Own Funds Item	Value at 31 December 2019 (EUR)
Tier 1 unrestricted	38,050,282
Tier 1 restricted	5,000,000
Tier 2 basic	0
Tier 2 ancillary	0
Tier 3	0
Tier 3 ancillary	0

Tier 1 unrestricted funds represent the net amount of shareholders' funds, i.e. the excess of assets over liabilities as calculated for solvency purposes.

Tier 1 restricted funds represent the EUR 5m subordinated debt from MIC's parent company.

E.1 (e) The equity as shown in the undertaking's financial statements is EUR 1.8M less than the excess of assets over liabilities as calculated for solvency purposes; this difference is because the technical provisions in the Financial Statements are calculated on a more prudent basis than the Solvency II Technical Provisions.

E.1 (f) The Company has subordinated debt of EUR 5M subject to the transitional arrangements referred to in Articles 308b(9) and 308b(10) of Directive 2009/138/EC

E.1 (g) The Company has no items of ancillary own funds.

E.1 (h) The Company has no items deducted from own funds.

E.2. Minimum capital requirement and solvency capital requirement

(a)


Solvency	Capital requirement	Eligible capital	Solvency ratio
SCR	14,794	43,051	291.0%
MCR	3,698	43,051	1164.0%

The **Solvency Capital Requirement ("SCR")** is the level of available capital that undertakings are required to hold under Solvency II. It is a risk-based capital measure, calibrated at a 1-in-200 year loss. Whilst it may be calculated using an Internal Model, in MIC's case it is appropriate to calculate it using the Standard Formula.

The **Minimum Capital Requirement ("MCR")** is the absolute minimum level of available capital that undertakings are required to hold under Solvency II. It is calculated as a linear formula of premium and reserves, subject to a minimum of 25% of the SCR and a maximum of 45% of the SCR.

The SCR of the Company as at 31 December 2019 was EUR14,793,624. The SCR of the Company as at 31 December 2018 was EUR 16,071,863. The MCR of the Company as at 31 December 2019 was EUR3,698,406. The main reason for the reduction in the SCR requirement was the implementation of the revised reinsurance arrangements with MMA IARD SA during 2018, the full benefits of which were reflected in the 2019 results.

(b)



Medical Insurance Company DAC: 31/12/2019

(c) The Company does not use simplified calculations for the technical provisions or any risk modules or sub-modules of the Standard Formula.

(d) The Company does not use undertaking-specific parameters pursuant to Article 104(7) of Directive 2009/138/EC.

(e) The Company is not required to apply any undertaking-specific parameters in accordance with Article 110 of Directive 2009/138/EC and is not subject to any capital add-on.

Line of Business	Net Technical Provisions (EUR '000)	Net Premium Written (EUR '000)	Parameters		MCR NL
			α	β	
General liability insurance	5,426	0	10%	13%	559

Since the figure of EUR 0.305M is less than the floor of 25% of the SCR, the floor of 25% of the SCR is applied – i.e. EUR 3.698M.

E.3 The option set out in Article 305b used for the calculation of its solvency capital requirement

The Company does not use the duration-based equity risk sub-module as set out in Article 304 of Directive 2009/138/EC in the calculation of the Solvency Capital Requirement.

E.4 Differences between the standard formula and any internal models used

An internal model is not used by the Company.

E.5 Non-compliance with the minimum capital requirement and significant non-compliance with the solvency capital requirement

There has been full compliance with the Minimum Capital Requirement and the Solvency Capital Requirement during the year.

E.6 Any other disclosures

There is no other material information regarding the capital management of the Company.

Means of Disclosure

The Company does not own or maintain a website related to its business, nor is it a member of a trade association. The Company will provide an electronic copy of this report to any person who requests a copy of this report.

F. Quantitative Reporting Templates



Annex I**S.02.01.02****Balance sheet****Assets**

Intangible assets
Deferred tax assets
Pension benefit surplus
Property, plant & equipment held for own use
Investments (other than assets held for index-linked and unit-linked contracts)
Property (other than for own use)
Holdings in related undertakings, including participations
Equities
Equities - listed
Equities - unlisted
Bonds
Government Bonds
Corporate Bonds
Structured notes
Collateralised securities
Collective Investments Undertakings
Derivatives
Deposits other than cash equivalents
Other investments
Assets held for index-linked and unit-linked contracts
Loans and mortgages
Loans on policies
Loans and mortgages to individuals
Other loans and mortgages
Reinsurance recoverables from:
Non-life and health similar to non-life
Non-life excluding health
Health similar to non-life
Life and health similar to life, excluding health and index-linked and unit-linked
Health similar to life
Life excluding health and index-linked and unit-linked
Life index-linked and unit-linked
Deposits to cedants
Insurance and intermediaries receivables
Reinsurance receivables
Receivables (trade, not insurance)
Own shares (held directly)
Amounts due in respect of own fund items or initial fund called up but not yet paid in
Cash and cash equivalents
Any other assets, not elsewhere shown
Total assets

	Solvency II value
	C0010
R0030	
R0040	
R0050	
R0060	4
R0070	22,569
R0080	
R0090	
R0100	
R0110	
R0120	
R0130	17,568
R0140	17,568
R0150	
R0160	
R0170	
R0180	
R0190	
R0200	5,001
R0210	
R0220	
R0230	
R0240	
R0250	
R0260	
R0270	255,163
R0280	253,215
R0290	253,215
R0300	
R0310	1,947
R0320	
R0330	1,947
R0340	
R0350	
R0360	2,772
R0370	7,291
R0380	
R0390	
R0400	
R0410	19,227
R0420	12
R0500	307,037

Annex I**S.02.01.02****Balance sheet****Liabilities**

Technical provisions – non-life

Technical provisions – non-life (excluding health)

TP calculated as a whole

Best Estimate

Risk margin

Technical provisions - health (similar to non-life)

TP calculated as a whole

Best Estimate

Risk margin

Technical provisions - life (excluding index-linked and unit-linked)

Technical provisions - health (similar to life)

TP calculated as a whole

Best Estimate

Risk margin

Technical provisions – life (excluding health and index-linked and unit-linked)

TP calculated as a whole

Best Estimate

Risk margin

Technical provisions – index-linked and unit-linked

TP calculated as a whole

Best Estimate

Risk margin

Contingent liabilities

Provisions other than technical provisions

Pension benefit obligations

Deposits from reinsurers

Deferred tax liabilities

Derivatives

Debts owed to credit institutions

Financial liabilities other than debts owed to credit institutions

Insurance & intermediaries payables

Reinsurance payables

Payables (trade, not insurance)

Subordinated liabilities

Subordinated liabilities not in BOF

Subordinated liabilities in BOF

Any other liabilities, not elsewhere shown

Total liabilities**Excess of assets over liabilities**

	Solvency II value
	C0010
R0510	261,249
R0520	261,249
R0530	
R0540	258,642
R0550	2,608
R0560	
R0570	
R0580	
R0590	
R0600	1,967
R0610	
R0620	
R0630	
R0640	
R0650	1,967
R0660	
R0670	1,947
R0680	20
R0690	
R0700	
R0710	
R0720	
R0740	
R0750	
R0760	
R0770	
R0780	
R0790	
R0800	
R0810	
R0820	
R0830	
R0840	
R0850	5,000
R0860	
R0870	5,000
R0880	770
R0900	268,986
R1000	38,050

S.05.01.02

[illegible]

S.05.01.02

Premiums, claims and expenses by line of business

[illegible]

Annex I
S.05.02.01

Premiums, claims and expenses by country

		Home Country	Top 5 countries (by amount of gross premiums written) - non-life obligations					Total Top 5 and home country
		C0010	C0020	C0030	C0040	C0050	C0060	C0070
	R0010		FR	CH				
		C0080	C0090	C0100	C0110	C0120	C0130	C0140
Premiums written								
Gross - Direct Business	R0110							
Gross - Proportional reinsurance accepted	R0120							
Gross - Non-proportional reinsurance accepted	R0130							
Reinsurers' share	R0140							
Net	R0200							
Premiums earned								
Gross - Direct Business	R0210							
Gross - Proportional reinsurance accepted	R0220							
Gross - Non-proportional reinsurance accepted	R0230							
Reinsurers' share	R0240							
Net	R0300							
Claims incurred								
Gross - Direct Business	R0310		24,836	-61				24,776
Gross - Proportional reinsurance accepted	R0320							
Gross - Non-proportional reinsurance accepted	R0330							
Reinsurers' share	R0340		18,544	-61				18,483
Net	R0400		6,293					6,293
Changes in other technical provisions								
Gross - Direct Business	R0410							
Gross - Proportional reinsurance accepted	R0420							
Gross - Non- proportional reinsurance accepted	R0430							
Reinsurers'share	R0440							
Net	R0500							
Expenses incurred	R0550		-3,891					-3,891
Other expenses	R1200							
Total expenses	R1300							-3,891

Annex I

S.05.02.01

Premiums, claims and expenses by country

		Home Country	Top 5 countries (by amount of gross premiums written) - life obligations					Total Top 5 and home country
		C0150	C0160	C0170	C0180	C0190	C0200	C0210
	R1400		FR					
		C0220	C0230	C0240	C0250	C0260	C0270	C0280
Premiums written								
Gross	R1410							
Reinsurers' share	R1420							
Net	R1500							
Premiums earned								
Gross	R1510							
Reinsurers' share	R1520							
Net	R1600							
Claims incurred								
Gross	R1610		121					121
Reinsurers' share	R1620		121					121
Net	R1700							
Changes in other technical provisions								
Gross	R1710							
Reinsurers' share	R1720							
Net	R1800							
Expenses incurred	R1900							
Other expenses	R2500							
Total expenses	R2600							

Annex I
S.12.01.02
Life and Health SLT Technical Provisions

Technical provisions calculated as a whole

Total Recoverables from reinsurance/SPV and Finite Re after the adjustment for expected losses due to counterparty default associated to TP as a whole

Technical provisions calculated as a sum of BE and RM

Best Estimate

Gross Best Estimate

Total Recoverables from reinsurance/SPV and Finite Re after the adjustment for expected losses due to counterparty default

Best estimate minus recoverables from reinsurance/SPV and Finite Re - total

Risk Margin

Amount of the transitional on Technical Provisions

Technical Provisions calculated as a whole

Best estimate

Risk margin

Technical provisions - total

	Insurance with profit participation	Index-linked and unit-linked insurance			Annuities stemming from non-life insurance contracts and relating to insurance obligation other than health insurance obligations	Accepted reinsurance	Total (Life other than health insurance, incl. Unit-Linked)	Health insurance (direct business)			Annuities stemming from non-life insurance contracts and relating to health insurance obligations	Health reinsurance (reinsurance accepted)	Total (Health similar to life insurance)				
		Contracts without options and guarantees	Contracts with options or guarantees	C0040				C0050	C0090	C0100				C0150	C0160	C0170	C0180
	C0020	C0030	C0040	C0050	C0090	C0100	C0150	C0160	C0170	C0180	C0190	C0200	C0210				
c	R0010																
	R0020																
c																	
	R0030				1,947		1,947										
	R0080				1,947		1,947										
	R0090				0		0										
	R0100				20		20										
	R0110																
	R0120																

Annex I

S.17.01.02

Non-life Technical Provisions

Technical provisions calculated as a whole

Total Recoverables from reinsurance/SPV and Finite Re after the adjustment for expected losses due to counterparty default associated to TP as a whole

Technical provisions calculated as a sum of BE and RM

Best estimate

Premium provisions

Gross

Total recoverable from reinsurance/SPV and Finite Re after the adjustment for expected losses due to counterparty default

Net Best Estimate of Premium Provisions

Claims provisions

Gross

Total recoverable from reinsurance/SPV and Finite Re after the adjustment for expected losses due to counterparty default

Net Best Estimate of Claims Provisions

Total Best estimate - gross

Total Best estimate - net

Risk margin

Amount of the transitional on Technical Provisions

Technical Provisions calculated as a whole

Best estimate

Risk margin

Technical provisions - total

Technical provisions - total

Recoverable from reinsurance contract/SPV and Finite Re after the adjustment for expected losses due to counterparty default - total

Technical provisions minus recoverables from reinsurance/SPV and Finite Re - total

	Direct business and accepted proportional reinsurance			Total Non-Life obligation
	Medical expense insurance	Income protection insurance	General liability insurance	
	C0020	C0030	C0090	C0180
R0010				
R0050				
R0060				
R0140				
R0150				
R0160			258,642	258,642
R0240			253,215	253,215
R0250			5,426	5,426
R0260			258,642	258,642
R0270			5,426	5,426
R0280			2,608	2,608
R0290				
R0300				
R0310				
R0320			261,249	261,249
R0330			253,215	253,215
R0340			8,034	8,034

Total Non-Life Business

Z0020	Underwriting year [UWY]
--------------	-------------------------

Development year

Total

Development year

Total

Annex I
S.23.01.01
Own funds

Basic own funds before deduction for participations in other financial sector as foreseen in article 68 of Delegated Regulation (EU) 2015/35

Ordinary share capital (gross of own shares)
Share premium account related to ordinary share capital
Initial funds, members' contributions or the equivalent basic own - fund item for mutual and mutual-type undertakings
Subordinated mutual member accounts
Surplus funds
Preference shares
Share premium account related to preference shares
Reconciliation reserve
Subordinated liabilities
An amount equal to the value of net deferred tax assets
Other own fund items approved by the supervisory authority as basic own funds not specified above

Own funds from the financial statements that should not be represented by the reconciliation reserve and do not meet the criteria to be classified as Solvency II own funds

Own funds from the financial statements that should not be represented by the reconciliation reserve and do not meet the criteria to be classified as Solvency II own funds

Deductions

Deductions for participations in financial and credit institutions

Total basic own funds after deductions

Ancillary own funds

Unpaid and uncalled ordinary share capital callable on demand
Unpaid and uncalled initial funds, members' contributions or the equivalent basic own fund item for mutual and mutual - type undertakings, callable on demand
Unpaid and uncalled preference shares callable on demand
A legally binding commitment to subscribe and pay for subordinated liabilities on demand
Letters of credit and guarantees under Article 96(2) of the Directive 2009/138/EC
Letters of credit and guarantees other than under Article 96(2) of the Directive 2009/138/EC
Supplementary members calls under first subparagraph of Article 96(3) of the Directive 2009/138/EC
Supplementary members calls - other than under first subparagraph of Article 96(3) of the Directive 2009/138/EC
Other ancillary own funds

Total ancillary own funds

Available and eligible own funds

Total available own funds to meet the SCR
Total available own funds to meet the MCR
Total eligible own funds to meet the SCR
Total eligible own funds to meet the MCR

SCR

MCR

Ratio of Eligible own funds to SCR

Ratio of Eligible own funds to MCR

	Total	Tier 1 - unrestricted	Tier 1 - restricted	Tier 2	Tier 3
	C0010	C0020	C0030	C0040	C0050
R0010	99,000	99,000			
R0030					
R0040					
R0050					
R0070					
R0090					
R0110					
R0130	-60,950	-60,950			
R0140	5,000		5,000		
R0160					
R0180					
R0220					
R0230					
R0290	43,050	38,050	5,000		
R0300					
R0310					
R0320					
R0330					
R0340					
R0350					
R0360					
R0370					
R0390					
R0400					
R0500	43,050	38,050	5,000		
R0510	43,050	38,050	5,000		
R0540	43,050	38,050	5,000		
R0550	43,050	38,050	5,000		
R0580	14,794				
R0600	3,698				
R0620	291.01%				
R0640	1164.02%				

Annex I
S.23.01.01
Own funds

Reconciliation reserve
Excess of assets over liabilities
Own shares (held directly and indirectly)
Foreseeable dividends, distributions and charges
Other basic own fund items
Adjustment for restricted own fund items in respect of matching adjustment portfolios and ring fenced funds
Reconciliation reserve
Expected profits
Expected profits included in future premiums (EPIFP) - Life business
Expected profits included in future premiums (EPIFP) - Non- life business
Total Expected profits included in future premiums (EPIFP)

	Total	Tier 1 - unrestricted	Tier 1 - restricted	Tier 2	Tier 3
	C0060				
R0700	38,050				
R0710					
R0720					
R0730	99,000				
R0740					
R0760	-60,950				
R0770					
R0780					
R0790					

Annex I

S.25.01.21

Solvency Capital Requirement - for undertakings on Standard Formula

Market risk

Counterparty default risk

Life underwriting risk

Health underwriting risk

Non-life underwriting risk

Diversification

Intangible asset risk

Basic Solvency Capital Requirement

Calculation of Solvency Capital Requirement

Operational risk

Loss-absorbing capacity of technical provisions

Loss-absorbing capacity of deferred taxes

Capital requirement for business operated in accordance with Art. 4 of Directive 2003/41/EC

Solvency capital requirement excluding capital add-on

Capital add-on already set

Solvency capital requirement

Other information on SCR

Capital requirement for duration-based equity risk sub-module

Total amount of Notional Solvency Capital Requirement for remaining part

Total amount of Notional Solvency Capital Requirements for ring fenced funds

Total amount of Notional Solvency Capital Requirement for matching adjustment portfolios

Diversification effects due to RFF nSCR aggregation for article 304

Approach to tax rate

Approach based on average tax rate

Calculation of loss absorbing capacity of deferred taxes

LAC DT

LAC DT justified by reversion of deferred tax liabilities

LAC DT justified by reference to probable future taxable economic profit

LAC DT justified by carry back, current year

LAC DT justified by carry back, future years

Maximum LAC DT

	Gross solvency capital requirement	USP	Simplifications
	C0110	C0090	C0120
R0010	1,525		
R0020	9,869		
R0030			
R0040			
R0050	1,791		
R0060	-1,806		
R0070			
R0100	11,380		
	C0100		
R0130	3,414		
R0140			
R0150			
R0160			
R0200	14,794		
R0210			
R0220	14,794		
R0400			
R0410			
R0420			
R0430			
R0440			
	Yes/No		
	C0109		
R0590	2 - No		
	LAC DT		
	C0130		
R0640			
R0650			
R0660			
R0670			
R0680			
R0690			

Annex I

S.28.01.01

Minimum Capital Requirement - Only life or only non-life insurance or reinsurance activity

Linear formula component for non-life insurance and reinsurance obligations

MCR _{NL} Result	C0010		Net (of reinsurance/SPV) best estimate and TP calculated as a whole	Net (of reinsurance) written premiums in the last 12 months
	R0010	559		
	C0020		C0030	
Medical expense insurance and proportional reinsurance	R0020			
Income protection insurance and proportional reinsurance	R0030			
Workers' compensation insurance and proportional reinsurance	R0040			
Motor vehicle liability insurance and proportional reinsurance	R0050			
Other motor insurance and proportional reinsurance	R0060			
Marine, aviation and transport insurance and proportional reinsurance	R0070			
Fire and other damage to property insurance and proportional reinsurance	R0080			
General liability insurance and proportional reinsurance	R0090	5,426		
Credit and suretyship insurance and proportional reinsurance	R0100			
Legal expenses insurance and proportional reinsurance	R0110			
Assistance and proportional reinsurance	R0120			
Miscellaneous financial loss insurance and proportional reinsurance	R0130			
Non-proportional health reinsurance	R0140			
Non-proportional casualty reinsurance	R0150			
Non-proportional marine, aviation and transport reinsurance	R0160			
Non-proportional property reinsurance	R0170			

Linear formula component for life insurance and reinsurance obligations

MCR _L Result		C0040	Net (of reinsurance/SPV) best estimate and TP calculated as a whole	Net (of reinsurance/SPV) total capital at risk
	R0200			
		C0050	C0060	
Obligations with profit participation - guaranteed benefits	R0210			
Obligations with profit participation - future discretionary benefits	R0220			
Index-linked and unit-linked insurance obligations	R0230			
Other life (re)insurance and health (re)insurance obligations	R0240			
Total capital at risk for all life (re)insurance obligations	R0250			

Overall MCR calculation

	C0070	
Linear MCR	R0300	559
SCR	R0310	14,794
MCR cap	R0320	6,657
MCR floor	R0330	3,698
Combined MCR	R0340	3,698
Absolute floor of the MCR	R0350	2,500
	C0070	
Minimum Capital Requirement	R0400	3,698